

# Benchmark Sea Trials on a 6-Meter Boat Powered by Kite

Kostia Roncin, Morgan Behrel, Paul Iachkine, Jean-Baptiste Leroux

## ▶ To cite this version:

Kostia Roncin, Morgan Behrel, Paul Iachkine, Jean-Baptiste Leroux. Benchmark Sea Trials on a 6-Meter Boat Powered by Kite. Applied Sciences, 2020, 10 (18), pp.6148-1 - 6148-26. 10.3390/app10186148 . hal-02995733

## HAL Id: hal-02995733 https://ensta-bretagne.hal.science/hal-02995733

Submitted on 2 Feb 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





## Article Benchmark Sea Trials on a 6-Meter Boat Powered by Kite

Kostia Roncin<sup>1,\*</sup>, Morgan Behrel<sup>2</sup>, Paul Iachkine<sup>3</sup> and Jean-Baptiste Leroux<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> French Air Force Academy, CREA, BA 701, F-13661 Salon Air, France
- <sup>2</sup> HALEHAU, 29200 Brest, France; morgan.behrel@ensta-bretagne.org
- <sup>3</sup> French Sailing Academy, Beg Rohu, 56510 Saint-Pierre-Quiberon, France; paul.iachkine@envsn.sports.gouv.fr
- <sup>4</sup> ENSTA Bretagne, FRE CNRS 3744, IRDL, F-29200 Brest, France; jean-baptiste.leroux@ensta-bretagne.fr
- \* Correspondence: kostia.roncin@ecole-air.fr

Received: 1 June 2020; Accepted: 26 July 2020; Published: 4 September 2020



**Abstract:** This paper presents sea trials on a 6-m boat specifically designed for kite propulsion. The kite control was automatic or manual, dynamic or static, depending on the point of sailing. The measurement system recorded boat motion and load generated by the kite. A particular attention was paid to wind measurement with several fixed and mobile locations directly on the kiteboat or in the vicinity. A high resolution weather modelling showed that a classical power law, describing the wind gradient, was not satisfactory to get the wind at kite location. 5-min measurement phases were systematically recorded. In the end, 101 runs were carried out. Data were processed with the phase-averaging method in order to produce reliable and accurate results.

Keywords: kite; ship propulsion; sea trials; wind measurement; phase-averaging

## 1. Introduction

The interest of the kite in terms of energy production is highlighted by Loyd in 1980 [1]. Over the past decade, kite research has taken off. However, it is essentially focused on electrical power production [2–8]. To a lesser extent, kites are investigated as an auxiliary propulsion device for merchant ship [9–15].

There is a fundamental difference between using a kite to generate electricity and using it for ship propulsion. While, in the first case, it is sought to maximise the tether tension, in the second case the aim is to maximise the component of this force in the ship advance direction. Thus, the kite flies always in dynamic mode (crosswind condition) in the case of electricity production, while flight conditions are varied in the case of ship propulsion. Leloup shows that the static flight is optimal under 50° in true wind angle [15]. For less close-hauled points of sail, dynamic mode becomes advantageous. There is a varied optimal figure-8 position and orientation for each wind angle (Figure 1). The cross wind situation is only optimal for the full downwind point of sail.

Although there is a large number of scientific publications on experiments carried out onshore [3,16,17], there is a clear lack of experimental data for ships towed by kite. Of course, there is the invaluable feedback from the Skysails Company, which developed the most convincing industrial propulsion device based on kite [13,18–20]. However, the data is incomplete and insufficient to allow the experiment to be replicated. Moreover, Skysails is a commercial enterprise and the authors being all staff members, it is possible that the results presented a biased view of the facts. There is also the Dadd et al. [16] experiment, but it takes place on the ground just like that of Behrel et al. [21]. Therefore, they do not allow the measurement of the interaction between the ship and the kite or the determination of the actual performance without being dependent on the limits of the modelling used (zero-mass,

straight and rigid lines, roll and drift neglected etc.). Canale et al. [22–25] present a study with results on a kite used to propel a ship. Everything about the kite is well documented but the information on the ship is incomplete and the wind is only measured on the boat. In addition, the tests are only made for a single wind direction (135°). Paulig et al. [20] report from the skysails experience that one of the major issues is the overloads caused by the vertical movements of the ship. To better understand this issue it is therefore necessary to model it. Bigi et al. [26,27] proposed a numerical modelling associating the strip theory for the ship motion simulation [28,29] and the zero-mass modelling formulated by Leloup et al. [15] for the kite force and motion simulation. The studies show an interesting lock-in phenomenon between the kite and the ship motions. The numerical tools are partially validated, on the one hand, for the ship motion, with the experiments from the University of Iowa [30,31], on the other hand, for the kite and the ship requires an experiments [21]. However, the interaction modelling between the kite and the ship requires an experimental database for validation, which is not available until now.



**Figure 1.** Drawing of the two kite positioning systems: cartesian or spherical. The axis system used for positioning the kite can vary depending on application.

The aim of the present study was to provide a reliable database. An earlier attempt showed the need for an instrumented vessel dedicated to this purpose [32]. A 6-m prototype was designed and built (Figure 2b). An experimental device was deployed to ensure satisfactory assessment of the wind at kite position. The phase-averaging method developed by Behrel et al. [21] was used in order to obtain accurate and reliable results.



**Figure 2.** (a) Kiteboat wind measurement experimental set-up based on three sonic anemometers located at different altitude above sea level. (b) Overview of the plywood structure of the kiteboat.

Section 2 presents the reference frames used. Section 3 details the measurement systems on the kiteboat and on the sailing area. Then, the methods for post processing the data, like boat motions

(phase averaging) or the 3D wind assessment on the entire sailing area, are described. Section 5 shows the first results, which are discussed in a Section 6 before concluding.

## 2. Reference Frames

The reference frames used in this study are introduced below and detailed with drawings in the following paragraphs. They are in conformity with the International Towing Tank Conference (ITTC) recommendations from the Group [33] and directly compliant with the standard from the International Organization for Standardization ISO 1151-1-4 [34]. They are identified with one or two letters, printed in subscript under the normalized vectors ( $\underline{x}, \underline{y}, \underline{z}$ ) forming the axis system. When the considered reference frame needs to be specified, this one is then printed under parenthesis in superscript above the variable: for example, if the vector  $\underline{A}_b$  is expressed in the reference frame  $R_{ref}$ , it will be denoted as  $\underline{A}_b^{(ref)}$ .

#### 2.1. North East Down (NED) Reference Frame

The earth-fixed reference frame used in this study is the North East Down axis system (Figure 3). It is denoted  $R_{ned}$ . The *x*-axis points to North, the *y*-axis points to the East and the *z*-axis points Down towards the center of the planet. Its origin O is the center point of the sailing area at the still water surface (Figure 4b).



**Figure 3.** Drawing of the North East Down  $R_{ned}$  reference frame.



**Figure 4.** Fixed wind measurement points deployed. Picture (**a**) shows the 4 measurement platforms. Picture (**b**) shows position of the 4 measurement points during trials (white circle); the central one is always occupied by the platform fitted with the 3-anemometer mast (on the right in picture (**a**)); the external locations are occupied by the others fitted with single-anemometer masts. The red circle shows the limit of the area of measurement (0.7 NM radius).

### 2.2. Heading Reference Frame

The heading reference frame  $R_{\psi}$  is based on the heading angle  $\psi$  of the ship relatively to the true north direction. It is the result of a rotation about  $\underline{z}_{ned}$  of angle  $\psi$  applied to frame  $R_{ned}$ , where  $\psi$  is the first of the three Euler angles. Its origin  $O_s$  is located in the center plane of the ship, at mid-ship, and at the Design Water Line (DWL).

#### 2.3. Ship Reference Frame

The ship reference frame is rigidly fixed to the ship, and is the result of the two other rotations from the remaining Euler angles—the pitch  $\theta_s$  and the roll  $\phi_s$ . At first, a rotation about  $\underline{y}_{\psi}$  of an angle  $\theta_s$  is applied, followed by a rotation about  $\underline{x}_s$  of an angle  $\phi_s$ . It share its origin  $O_s$  with the The heading reference frame.

#### 2.4. Onshore Measurement Wind Reference Frame

The onshore measurement wind reference frame  $R_{ws}$  is the axis system of the wind measurement device when deployed onshore, and not rigidly fixed to the experimental setup. Here, this the case for the Sonic Detection And Ranging (SODAR) device. Thus, wind measurements are output in this reference frame. This frame is the result of a triple rotation of onshore wind Euler angles ( $\psi_{ws}$ ,  $\theta_{ws}$ ,  $\phi_{ws}$ ) applied to the heading reference frame  $R_{\psi}$ . Its origin is located at wind measurement point *W*.

#### 2.5. Relative Wind Reference Frame

The relative wind reference frame is defined from the heading reference frame. For a known relative wind velocity vector  $\underline{V}_{WR}$ , The  $x_{wr}$ -axis is set co-linear to  $\underline{V}_{WR}$  (see Figure 5). Thus, the  $R_{wr}$  reference frame is the result of a first rotation about  $\underline{z}_{\psi}$  of an angle  $\chi_{wr}$ , followed by a second one about  $\underline{y}_{wr}$  of an angle  $\zeta_{wr}$ . Therefore,  $\chi_{wr}$  and  $\zeta_{wr}$  angles are the two first Euler angles and the transfer matrices  $\underline{M}_{\chi_{WR}}$  and  $\underline{M}_{\zeta_{WR}}$  can also be defined. The relative wind angle  $\beta_{WR}$ , generally used by sailors as in this study, is related to the angle  $\chi_{wr}$  with the following formula:

$$\beta_{WR} = \chi_{wr} + \pi \tag{1}$$



**Figure 5.** Drawing of the relative wind reference frame  $R_{wr}$ . The  $y_{wr}$ -axis and  $z_{wr}$ -axis are the result of a double rotation of angles ( $\chi_{wr}, \zeta_{wr}$ ), according to the Euler convention, applied to the heading reference frame  $R_{\psi}$ .

### 2.6. Aerodynamic Reference Frame

Similarly to the relative wind reference frame, the aerodynamic reference frame  $R_a$  is defined from the apparent wind velocity on the kite, as shown in Figure 6, with the  $x_a$ -axis co-linear to  $V_a$  and pointing in the same direction. The  $y_a$ -axis is orthogonal to the plane formed by the vectors  $V_a$  and  $V_k$ , and the  $z_a$ -axis is completing the axis system, pointing down. Kite tethers and kite attachment point are not necessarily contained in this plane. The  $y_a$ -axis orientation is selected to obtain a direct reference frame. *K* is the center of the aerodynamic frame.



**Figure 6.** Drawing of the aerodynamic reference frame  $R_a$ . Kite tethers and kite attachment point are not necessarily contained in the plane of the figure.

## 2.7. Kite Position

Within this study, only kite seen as a point is considered, with no rigid body motion. This means that only the position of the kite *K* is considered while its attitude is not measured. Therefore, three variables only are requested to position the kite. Because the kite is nearly flying on a sphere, spherical coordinates  $(r, \theta, \phi)$  are particularly suitable. However, cartesian coordinates (Px, Py, Pz) are sometimes necessary.

## 3. Experimental Set-Up

#### 3.1. Kiteboat Specific Sensors

Most of the components were already integrated in the kite control box (see Reference [21]) and in the two associated waterproof boxes. However, a few sensors had to be fixed directly on the kiteboat as pictured in Figure 7. On-board anemometers will be presented in Section 3.2.



Figure 7. Overview of the experimental set-up when deployed on the kiteboat.

## 3.1.1. GPS and IMU

An Inertial Motion Unit (IMU) combined with a dual antenna GPS receiver provided boat orientations and velocities. This sensor was a VectorNav VN-300 Rugged. In addition to provide boat position and velocity, the dual antenna GPS receiver gave also an accurate heading measurement apart from any magnetic interference.

## 3.1.2. Rudder Angle

The rudder angle was measured using a rotary potentiometer integrated into the steering system. Calibrations were carried out at the laboratory and zero balance was done and checked several times a day.

## 3.2. Wind Measurements

Wind measurement is one of the most important aspects for computing post-processing. However, getting a good estimate of the wind speed on the kite is challenging, especially on a moving platform and when measurements are done at sea.

### 3.2.1. Kiteboat Sytems

To get the wind over the kiteboat, three ultrasonic anemometers were fixed on the mast at three different altitudes. The three sonic anemometers were manufactured by Gill, but were from different models. The higher one was fixed on the head of the mast with a measurement altitude of 5.5 m above the sea level. It was a WindMaster, a three dimensional sonic anemometer with a data flow rate of 20 Hz. The second one was a 2D anemometer WindSonic placed at 4.2 m above the sea level and deported from the mast by 0.6 m, with a data flow rate of 4 Hz. The last anemometer was a MaxiMet 500 (3.0 m above sea level, frequency 1 Hz). A picture of the wind measurement mast mounted on the kiteboat is given in Figure 2a.

## 3.2.2. Bay of Quiberon Wind Measurement Systems

To ensure an even more accurate estimate of wind at kite position, a set of fixed measurement points was deployed in the bay of Quiberon, inspired from previous work [14,35]. The system was based on 3 catamarans KL15, fitted with a five-meter mast and a 2D ultrasonic anemometer at the top. The sensor is a CV3F by LCJ Capteurs. A compass provided the heading of the platform. The GPS provided position and absolute time, and it was used to synchronize data with the kiteboat acquisition system. Platforms location are given in Figure 4b. A fourth catamaran KL15 was moored at the center of the inscribed circle of the triangle formed by the three other catamarans. This one was fitted with the same wind measurement mast as the one mounted on the kiteboat and presented in the previous paragraph.

#### 3.2.3. Sailing Area

The chosen site for fieldwork was Quiberon Bay (South Brittany, France). Experiments were carried out in the area covered by the fixed-point wind sensors, shown in Figure 4. This sailing area has the advantage of being quite well documented in the literature [14,35,36]. Moreover, Quiberon bay is

surrounded by several wind sensors belonging to the Wind Morbihan network (http://windmorbihan. com/).

#### 3.3. Design of Experiments

A set of parameters were varied during the fieldwork from one run to another. The inputs of the experience matrix are described in the following paragraphs.

## 3.3.1. Kite

Three different kites were used: two Cabrinha<sup>®</sup> Switch blade (2016 model) kites with an area of 5 and 12 m<sup>2</sup>, and a third one built especially for the kiteboat by the beyond-the-sea<sup>®</sup> company. This kite had an area of 5 m<sup>2</sup>, but it was delivered only on the last two days of the sea trials. The major difference with the two Cabrinha<sup>®</sup> kites was that it was designed to stand wingload (or Safe Working Load [20]) up to 100 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. As a comparison, Behrel et al. [21] show that wings designed for Kite-surf such as the two Cabrinha<sup>®</sup> Switchblade only withstand Safe Working Load (SWL) up to 20 to 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Both kite types are shown in Figure 8.



**Figure 8.** (a) Beyond the sea<sup> $\mathbb{R}$ </sup> Switch blade (2016 model) kite, (b) Cabrinha<sup> $\mathbb{R}$ </sup> Switch blade (2016 model).

#### 3.3.2. Daggerboards

Two set of daggerboards were used. The first one was the set initially designed for the kiteboat. These appendages were named Standard daggerboards, shorten with the letters STD when necessary. The daggerboards of the second set were are named Black daggerboards, shorten with the three letters BCK when necessary. Their surface area were twice that of the standard set (from 0.683 m<sup>2</sup> to 1.265 m<sup>2</sup> in wetted projected area each). The main differences were the span and, to a lesser extent, the chord law. The thickness law and profiles were quite identical.

## 3.3.3. True Wind Angle

Numerous true wind angles were tested, from upwind sailing with true wind angles about  $60^{\circ}$ , to full downwind (180°). The objective was to cover a wide range of true wind angle with an increment of  $20^{\circ}$ .

#### 3.3.4. True Wind Speed

The magnitude of the wind is obviously a parameter that cannot be ruled. Consequently, runs were done whatever the wind magnitude was, and they were then sorted to group together runs with similar wind magnitude.

#### 3.3.5. Tether Lengths

The same two sets of tethers as those used in Reference [21] were considered, with lengths of 50 m and 80 m.

### 3.3.6. Kite Flight

For low true wind angles (lower than 80°), only static flight could be achieved. For medium true wind angles (between 80° and 100°), the dynamic flight was possible, but not with the automatic pilot. Indeed, in this case, the kite needs to fly close to the wind window edge and the current autopilot was not able to deal efficiently with this type of situation. Thus, one of the crew member manually controlled the kite with the joysticks. For larger true wind angle, from 100° to 180°, the autopilot presented in Reference [21] based on the work by Fagiano et al. [37] controlled the kite. Autopilot settings were changed as little as possible from one run to another. However, it was sometimes necessary to adjust some settings to ensure a regular flight. Moreover, these settings resulted from manual choices, based on empirical experiences.

## 3.3.7. Kite Attachment Point

Four longitudinal locations of the kite attachment point were at first defined. They were equally distributed along the boat cockpit. However, it soon became clear that the extreme positions initially foresaw were practically inoperable due to maneuverability issues. Eventually, a single position was used most of the time, with a kite attachment point located at 2.68 m forward the transom. This position was named "C2bis". A second position, named "C1bis", was tried for few runs at 2.48 m forward the transom. The position of the crew members and additional waterproof boxes were then adapted to maintain the center of gravity at the same position at all time.

#### 3.3.8. Propulsion

Some of the runs were done with the outboard engine working. This allowed upwind runs not always achievable with the kite alone, especially in light wing condition with the small kite areas. It also allowed to consider the effect of kites on a motor boat, which is the end purpose of the Beyond the sea<sup> $\mathbb{R}$ </sup> project.

## 3.4. Available Data

## 3.4.1. Kiteboat Data

For each runs with kite, many data were recorded. An overview of the most relevant ones is given in Table 1.

Inform	nation Related to the Boat	Infor	nation Related to kIte Data
Symb.	Details	Symb.	Details
(COG)	Course Over Ground	$\underline{F}_m$	Front tether force vector
$V_s$	Speed of the boat	$F_{bl}$	Left back tether force
ψ	Heading of the boat (HDG)	F <sub>br</sub>	Right back tether force
$\theta_s$	Pitch angle of the boat	$\lambda 1$	Position of actuator 1 (left)
$\phi_s$	Roll angle of the boat	λ2	Position of actuator 2 (right)
$\delta_r$	Rudder angle		

Table 1. Major variables recorded during runs.

## 3.4.2. Wind Data

A partnership was engaged with the company EXWEXs, which specializes in weather forecast and weather modelling. The objective was to get a fine modelling of the wind over the sailing area. Details on the modelling with the associated physic and outputs are given in Reference [38]. The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model [39] was implemented. The modelling strategy for such a forecast was to nest several spatial domains centered on the area of interest, from the largest to the smallest with, at the end, an expected resolution of 110 m on the sailing area. The time step of the finest domain was set to 0.72 s. Because a real time forecast was not necessary, initial conditions and boundary conditions were taken from reanalysed data coming from the National Center for Atmospheric Research (USA). The five domains, with their topography, are plotted in Figure 9, and Table 2 illustrates induced resolutions and corresponding locations. It shows a constant vertical resolution of 20 m between the layers at a constant altitude of up to 300 m.



**Figure 9.** Spatial domains of the modelling used to predict the wind above the sailing area, from the wider (**a**) to the closer (**e**) (Source: EXWEXs [38]).

The outputs of the modelling are weather data along the altitude (from 20 m to 300 m) for 222 points of the grid spread over the sailing area and for each time step. The most interesting data, in our case, are the three components of the wind velocity, the temperature and the pressure. The results of a few hours of modelling outputted at the location of the center measurement platform are given in Figure 10.



**Figure 10.** Example of wind speed profile (**a**), wind direction profile (**b**) and vertical wind speed profile (**c**), calculated by the weather modelling during the 29th of March, and outputted at the location of the center measurement platform (time (UTC) of each profile depends on color, and is denoted by the colorbar). The magenta line on plot (**a**) denotes the International Towing Tank Conference (ITTC) profile, calculated from the average wind of all profile at 20 m during the period.

Table 2. Domains, Resolutions and Scales implemented in the WRF 3.8.1 modelling applied to the	۱e
France zone up to the zoom on Quiberon.	

Domains	D01	D02	D03	D04	D05
Horizontal resolution	8910 m	2970 m	990 m	330 m	110 m
Vertical resolution (0–300 m altitude)	20 m	20 m	20 m	20 m	20 m
Modelling scale	Meso	Submeso	Submeso	LES	LES

## 4. Post Processing

The post-processing of the kiteboat data is very close from that presented in [21]. Vectors are still expressed into the heading reference frame  $R_{\psi}$ , unless otherwise noted. Velocities are given with respect to the Earth, unless otherwise noted.

### 4.1. Boat Related Equations

The velocity of the ship  $\underline{V}_s$  is defined as the velocity of a point  $O_s$ , with respect to the Earth. The velocity of the ship (i.e., Course Over Ground)), can be obtained from the velocity of the point U (coming from the measurements of the IMU):

$$\underline{V}_s = \underline{V}_U + \underline{O}_s \underline{U} \wedge \underline{\Omega}_s. \tag{2}$$

The rotation speed vector of the boat  $\underline{\Omega}_s^{(s)}$  expressed in the ship reference frame  $R_s$  is directly the measurement of the turn rates (p,q,r) provided by the IMU. Thus  $\underline{\Omega}_s$  expressed in  $R_{\psi}$  can be obtain using the transfer matrices:

$$\underline{\Omega}_{s} = (\underline{\underline{M}}_{\theta_{s}} \underline{\underline{M}}_{\phi_{s}}) \underline{\Omega}_{s}^{(s)}.$$
(3)

### 4.2. Equations Related to Wind Data from Onboard Sensors

This part deals with the wind measurements carried out directly on the kiteboat. The onboard wind measurements are firstly computed in the heading reference frame, going at first from the sensor reference frame  $R_{wm}$  to the ship reference frame  $R_s$ , and secondly to the heading reference frame  $R_{\psi}$ :

$$\underline{V}_{WM} = (\underline{\underline{M}}_{\theta_s} \underline{\underline{M}}_{\phi_s}) (\underline{\underline{M}}_{\psi_w} \underline{\underline{M}}_{\theta_w} \underline{\underline{M}}_{\phi_w}) V_{WM}^{(wm)}.$$
(4)

Then, the relative wind velocity at the altitude of the measurement point  $z_0$  can be computed, taking into account velocity of wind sensor with respect to the point  $O_s$  belonging to the boat:

$$\underline{V}_{WR,z_0} = \underline{V}_{WM} - \underline{V}_{W/O_s} = \underline{V}_{WM} + \underline{O_sW} \wedge \underline{\Omega}_s.$$
(5)

From there, the true wind speed at the measurement point can be calculated:

$$\underline{V}_{WT,z_0} = \underline{V}_{WR,z_0} + \underline{V}_s.$$
(6)

The estimation of the wind at any other altitude will be discussed in another section. The relative wind on the kite can be obtain by subtracting the velocity of the kite attachment point  $\underline{V}_A$  to the true wind speed on the kite (tethers are considered as perfectly straight, therefore the translations motions of the kite attachment are entirely transmitted to the kite):

$$\underline{V}_A = \underline{V}_s + \underline{AO}_s \wedge \underline{\Omega}_s \tag{7}$$

$$\underline{V}_{WR} = \underline{V}_{WT} - \underline{V}_A.$$
(8)

#### 4.3. *Kite Related Equations*

At first, forces into front tether are computed in the heading reference frame instead of the frame of the boat:

$$\underline{F}_{f} = \underline{\underline{M}}_{\theta_{s}} \underline{\underline{M}}_{\phi_{s}} \underline{\underline{F}}_{m}^{(s)}.$$
(9)

Then, back tether forces are added to front tether vector, to create the total kite force  $\underline{F}_k$  at kite attachment point *A* on the boat :

$$\underline{F}_{k,A} = \frac{\underline{F}_f}{\|\underline{F}_f\|} (\|\underline{F}_f\| + F_{bl} + F_{br}).$$

$$(10)$$

With the hypothesis of perfectly straight tethers with constant length, the total kite force vector at attachment point on the boat equals the opposite of the force generated by the kite at the kite position:

$$\underline{F}_k = -\underline{F}_{k,A}.\tag{11}$$

With the same assumption, the kite position  $\underline{P}_k$  and the kite velocity  $\underline{V}_k$  can also be computed with respect to the point *A* (kite attachment point):

$$\underline{P}_k = \frac{\underline{F}_f}{\|\underline{F}_f\|} L_t.$$
(12)

$$\underline{V}_{k} = \frac{d\underline{P}_{k}}{dt} \tag{13}$$

With the zero-mass assumption, the aerodynamic force  $\underline{F}_a$  generated by the kite is directly equal to the opposite of the force generated by the kite:

$$\underline{F}_a = -\underline{F}_k. \tag{14}$$

Knowing the relative wind vector at the altitude of the kite and the kite velocity, the apparent wind on the kite  $\underline{V}_a$  can be computed:

$$\underline{V}_a = \underline{V}_{WR} - \underline{V}_k. \tag{15}$$

This apparent wind vector allows us to define the aerodynamic reference frame  $R_a$ , and is detailed in Section 2.6. The drag vector  $\underline{D}$  can be obtained by projecting the aerodynamic force  $\underline{F}_a$  on  $\underline{x}_a$ , and the lift vector  $\underline{L}$  is then the difference between  $\underline{F}_a$  and  $\underline{D}$ :

$$\underline{D} = (\underline{F}_a \cdot \underline{x}_a) \underline{x}_a,\tag{16}$$

$$\underline{L} = \underline{F}_a - \underline{D}.\tag{17}$$

The lift to drag ratio *f* is then obtained by computing the ratio of the norm of the lift and drag vector:

$$f = \frac{L}{D} = \frac{\|\underline{L}\|}{\|\underline{D}\|}.$$
(18)

Finally the lift coefficient  $C_l$  is also obtained, with  $A_k$  the kite area, and  $\rho$  the density of the air.

$$C_{l} = \frac{\|\underline{L}\|}{\frac{1}{2}\rho A_{k}V_{a}^{2}}.$$
(19)

#### 4.4. Wind Estimation on the Kite

The present section focuses on the different methods used to estimate the wind on the kite.

#### 4.4.1. Power Law

The wind profile is fitted by a power-law of exponent 1/7 [33]. The limits of such a method have already been pointed out [21]. The difference between the weather modelling and the power law is shown in Figure 10a.

#### 4.4.2. Profiler Data

The SODAR used during the onshore work presented in Reference [21] was also used during this work, but with a different purpose. Indeed, the SODAR was installed onshore in the ENVSN facilities, and was there to get data in order to feed the weather modelling (introduced in Section 3.4). Therefore, the settings were quite different from those used previously, leading to an altitude range of measurement going from 30 m to 600 m. The distance between the SODAR and the center of the sailing area was 2.5 NM.

#### 4.4.3. Solution Based on the Profiles from the Weather Modelling

The first considered solution was to use the weather modelling in order to get a wind magnitude profile above the kiteboat, and then to scale this profile with measurements carried out on the kiteboat. In this case, no twist of the True Wind Direction (TWD) along the altitude was assessed. The true wind direction was calculated with the data coming from the on-board sensors (anemometer for wind and GPS-IMU for boat velocity). However, the altitude of the on-board measurement of the wind and the altitude of the lowest point outputted by the modelling were not identical. Therefore, a wind estimate at the measurement point was linearly interpolated in time and space from the modelling data. A scale factor could then be calculated by dividing the measured value by the extrapolated one:

$$V_{WT,z} = V_{WT,mod,z} \frac{V_{WT,kb,z_0}}{V_{WT,extrap,z_0}}.$$
(20)

#### 4.4.4. Solution Based only on the Data from the Weather Modelling

This last option consisted of directly interpolating the wind profile in the data provided by the modelling, without taking measurements into account.

### 5. Results

#### 5.1. Overview of the Achievements

The fieldwork was carried out during a four-week period, with 10 days with useful measurements (which was the initial target). This led to 101 runs with a kite in the sailing area. If we add the other specific runs like seakeeping or manoeuvrability tests, this ultimately corresponds to more than 9 h and 40 min of relevant recordings. This required more than 80 h on the water taking into account all steps of the trials. A presentation video is available on YouTube (https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=Zgd8KkaCavg).

This section aims to point out some relevant elements regarding the global objective of the project. A representative case among the various trajectories achieved by the kite during the the 101 runs, is given in Figure 11. The plot shows the trajectory of the kite, steered by the autopilot, flying in dynamic mode. This case was possible only for downwind and reaching conditions (true wind angles not smaller than 100°, see Section 3.3). Keep in mind that autopilot gave much better reproducibility than manual steering. Which made it possible to apply the phase averaging method with increased accuracy on the results, as presented in Section 5.4.



**Figure 11.** Kite trajectory during a downwind run in black. The yellow triangle denotes the kiteboat position, and the magenta arrows give the orientation of the true wind. The trajectory processed by the phase-averaging method is colored in order to show the kite force with respect to its position.

#### 5.2. Benchmark of Redundant Wind Data

With the large number of sensors deployed for this work and presented in Section 3, some data were redundant. This enabled the comparison of the sensors with each other to check their accuracy and possibly identify defective ones. In the present paragraph focus was placed on the on-board anemometers. Three anemometers were mounted on a dedicated mast, at three different heights (details in Section 3.2). Data coming from these three devices were compared. An example of this work is given in Figure 12a. The correlation of the direction data (left plot) is very good. The plot of the true wind speed (right plot) shows that the uppers sensors measure stronger winds. This is consistent with boundary layer theory. Indeed, in part (b) of the same figure, the average values of run for each sensors is plotted with respect to the altitude of measurement. They are compared with the power law profile calculated from the highest measurement. In regard to these satisfactory agreements, the wind measured by the highest sensor (WindMaster) was used when only one point of measurement was deemed sufficient (i.e., most of the time in the present study). This sensor being located at the top of the mast, no risk of blanketing effect was to fear. Moreover, this sensor had a better output rate and it measured the wind vertical component.



**Figure 12.** Comparison between the wind measurements of the three on-board sensors (**a**). The average value of the true wind speed during the run is plotted for each one (**b**), and compared to the power law calculated from the WindMaster measurement at 5.5 m.

#### 5.3. Average Data

For each of the 101 runs, data were averaged. This allowed to globally analyse the effects of parameters on the kiteboat performances and its behaviour. Results are summarized in a two-page Table in Appendix A.

To keep notations as simple as possible, in this section and related Figures and Tables, the fact that all data are averaged is implied.

#### 5.3.1. Roll and Pitch Offset Compensation

Average roll and pitch angles of the kiteboat for all runs are plotted in Figure 13. These two values depend on forces applied on the kiteboat: hydrodynamic loads on the hull and appendages, kite force, and distribution of moving weights. With a kite providing the totality of the propulsive force, the hydrodynamic loads on all immersed parts of the boat and the kite force are strongly coupled. No devices were installed to measure the moving weights onboard. It was decided to fix the positions of the boxes and crew members in order to maintain the overall center of gravity at the same position at all times. However, with a crew of three, it was not possible to distribute the masses symmetrically. As a result, the helmsman was permanently seated on the starboard bench. This is visible on the average value of the roll, with an offset of  $+1.47^{\circ}$ . In the following analyses, this value was subtracted from the data to center the cloud of points on 0 and, thus, get reader-friendly plots. The average offset on pitch values was also subtracted from the data for the same reason.



Figure 13. Evolution of the roll (a) and pitch (b) angles for all the runs achieved with the kite only.

#### 5.3.2. Polar Diagrams of the Kiteboat

The aim of this section is to draw a polar diagram of the kiteboat, associating the true wind angle of the runs with the boat speed. In order to obtain reliable data, the other parameters had to remain as constant as possible. Cases were selected from the experience matrix with this requirement. This meant setting the configuration for: the kite, the tethers length, the daggerboard, the location of the attachment point and the propulsion. The choice of the retained cases among the given parameters was achieved by maximizing the number of runs. Hence, 54 cases were selected fitting these criteria:

- Kite Cabrinha<sup>®</sup> 12 m<sup>2</sup>
- 50 m tethers
- Black daggerboard
- Kite attachment point at 2.68 m
- No Motor

All selected runs are shown in Figure 14, with the average true wind speed of the run given thanks to the colorbar. In order to have a more consistent plot, by symmetry consideration, true wind angles for all runs are concentrated between 0 and 180°. The port side runs (with negative true wind angle) are, however, denoted with another symbol than the starboard runs. No symmetry issue between port side and starboard runs can be noticed.



**Figure 14.** Polar of the kiteboat in the most used configuration (Kite Cabrinha<sup>®</sup> 12 m<sup>2</sup>, Tether length 50 m, Black daggerboard, Kite attachment point at 2.68 m, No motor). Port side and starboard runs were set together, but marker type (square or triangle) allows the identification.

Other choices can be made on the parameter set. Thus, in Figure 15a, the true wind speed is limited to remain within the range from 4.5 to 6 m/s. The impact of the daggerboard choice is highlighted by plotting the runs done with the two types of daggerboard with different markers. Other parameters remain identical to the previous plot. On the one hand, it is immediately visible that the black daggerboards allow runs closer to the wind than the standard daggerboards. On the other hand, when no significant lift is produced, the black daggerboards generate larger drag than the standard set due to their larger wetted surface. The diagram highlights the significant impact of this increase in drag on boat speed during downwind runs.



**Figure 15.** (a) Impact of the daggerboard choice on speed performances of the kiteboat according to the true wind angle (true wind speed from 4.5 to 6 m/s). (b) Overview of the running resistance curve of the kiteboat built from all runs completed during the trials. The colors are based on the kite used during each race. The black line denotes the best quadratic fitting applied on the entire cloud of points.

#### 5.3.3. Running Resistance Curve of the Kiteboat

The running resistance curve of the kiteboat is plotted in Figure 15b, based on all the runs achieved without additional power from the motor. Thus, the value of the propulsive force generated by the kite (in other word the projection of the kite force  $F_k$  on the x-axis of the heading reference frame) is analysed with respect to the boat speed. Moreover, the kite in use during each run is also specified using a color code. The agreement is good between the regression curve, for measurement at sea, considering the number of uncontrolled parameters as the wind speed, the sea state or the surface current. A quadratic fitting was applied to the entire set of points, leading to the following equation:

$$F_{k\,1}^{(\psi)} = 26.2 \, V_s^2 + 54.8 \, V_s - 5.7. \tag{21}$$

Nevertheless, a second order regression curve is clearly a rough estimate. An increased discrepancy between the different measurement points can be observed in the higher part of the curve. Three points seems to begin a plateau, which could corresponds to the planning regime as Froude number is about 0.6. The highest point in terms of resistance corresponds to a broad reach point of sail with significant side force. In this situation, induced drag on daggerboards explains the gap in tendency with the three points cited above. To complete this analysis, it is interesting to include a fourth point to this group, which is the highest in the graph. The two leftmost points of the regression curve are for closer wind angles and "black daggerboard", while the two rightmost points are those with higher wind angles and "standard daggerboards". Therefore, a simple modelling of the type of the wing theory could satisfactorily represent the difference between the regression curve and these points by applying it to the daggerboards. Moreover, even if it was weak in the sailing area, the current should be taken into account in a further analysis to obtain the true hydrodynamic velocity of the boat.

#### 5.3.4. Effects of Daggerboards on Boat Motions

The impact of the daggerboard choice on boat attitudes was also investigated. Thus, the average roll and pitch angles are plotted according to the true wind angle, the daggerboard type, and the true wind speed. No constraint was added to reduce the number of runs, except that the motor shall not be used. Results are given in Figure 16. Whatever the daggerboard choice, the absolute value of the roll angle increases when the runs are closer to the wind (Figure 16a). This can be easily explained by analysing the evolution of the side force generated by the kite. In case of significant side force, the hydrodynamic anti-drift force acting on the daggerboards increases and dominates the overall hydrodynamic side force. On the one hand, As first estimate, its point of application can be considered at the center of the daggerboard planform. On the other hand, The side force produced by the kite is applied at the attachment point, above the waterplan and in the centerplan. Hence, the lever arm created between the side force produced by the kite and the anti-drift force on the daggerboards induced a roll moment on the ship. The side forces are much stronger in reaching conditions, thus it induces a stronger heeling moment. The sign of the roll angle is consistent with this explanation: true wind angles between 0 and  $180^{\circ}$  are associated with starboard tacks, and induced side forces are consequently negative. The heeling moment is also negative and so is the roll angle. During port tacks (TWA from  $180^{\circ}$  to  $360^{\circ}$ ), side forces are positive leading to positive roll angles. The 4 negative values associated with port tack, related to the black daggerboard, deal with runs with a kite that is manually steered. In this case, the crew members' position was changed to ensure a good position of the member in charge of the kite steering. The black daggerboards, the larger of the two sets, also seem to lead to a greater value of the roll angle. This makes sense because, with the larger span of the black daggerboard, the center of effort of the hydrodynamic force generated by the daggerboards is located lower. The associated heeling moment is therefore larger.



**Figure 16.** Effects of the daggerboard choice on roll (**a**) and pitch (**b**) angles of the kiteboat, according to the true wind angle.

The pitch angle is also affected by the daggerboard choice as shown in Figure 16b. Indeed, amplitudes of pitch angles are lower with the black daggerboard. This can also be explained by the lower position of the application point of the hydrodynamic force on daggerboards. Plot (b) seems also to show a slight decrease of pitch when runs come closer to the wind. As the side force increases, the drag on the daggerboards also increase. Therefore, it takes a greater part in the overall hydrodynamic resistance and lowers its point of application. The induced pitch moment being negative, this leads to a decrease in pitch angle.

## 5.3.5. Back Tether Ratio

The ratio between the force in back tethers and the total kite force was computed, as it is done in Reference [21], using the following formula:

$$r_b = \frac{F_{bl} + F_{br}}{F_k}.$$
(22)

As expected, the ratio for the two Cabrinha<sup>( $\mathbb{R}$ )</sup> kites are close to the ones obtained onshore [21], with an average value of 23%. However, this ratio for the third kite is quite different, with an average value of 40 %. This difference can be explained by the special design of the BTS kite with an added line linking back and front bridle system.

## 5.3.6. Power Ratio.

During the last two days of measurements, the main battery voltage was recorded as well as the intensity of each channel of the power board. Therefore, the electrical power  $P_e$  consumed by actuators can be analysed. However, the data rate was low (1 Hz), so that a fine analysis of the consumption along a trajectory cannot be performed and only average values will be considered. This quantity is interesting to analyse, particularly in comparison with the propulsive power generated by the kite  $P_{prop}$ , which is the product of the propulsive force of the kite by the speed of the boat:

$$P_{prop} = V_s \underline{F}_k \cdot \underline{x}_{\psi}.$$
(23)

This make it possible to define the 'power ratio', which is denoted  $r_p$ :

$$r_p = \frac{P_{prop}}{P_e}.$$
(24)

When this ratio is lower than 1, the control of the kite requires a level of power higher than the towing power provided. Results are given in Figure 17. During these two days, runs were mostly carried out in light wind with the BTS kite. Only 4 runs proceeded with the Cabrinha<sup>®</sup> 12 m<sup>2</sup>. For runs with low true wind angles, the power ratio is close to 1 and even below for some runs. This is not surprising, because for these points of sail, most of the kite force is along the transverse axis of the boat. Therefore, the propulsive force is low, so the power ratio. For greater true wind angles, the ratios increase, but remain relatively low for the BTS kite. On the contrary, the power ratios of the Cabrinha<sup>®</sup> 12 m<sup>2</sup> seems to be much better. However, more data would be necessary with the Cabrinha<sup>®</sup> kite to do a fair comparison. Further works have to be carried out to measure more accurately the power ratio. It should also be noted that the present steering system was only designed for measurement and not with an energy efficiency approach. The bevel gear box change should already improve the power consumption by more than 20%.



**Figure 17.** (**a**) Back tether ratio for the three kites. (**b**) Power ratio according to the true wind angle, for two different kites.

## 5.4. Results Processed with Phase-Averaging Method

The phase-averaging method, presented in Reference [21], was adapted to take into account the additional data induced by the boat. All the 101 runs are not suitable. Indeed, a minimum reproducibility of the trajectories is required, and some sailing configurations, as the manual steering, do not allow meeting this requirement. Eventually, 10 runs were selected and results are presented in Table 3. The back tether ratio  $r_b$  (Equation (22)) and the amplitude ratio  $r_a$  were already considered in Reference [21]. The amplitude ratio is the amplitude of the force divided by the averaged force value along the trajectory. In addition, two others ratio are introduced here: the propulsive ratio  $r_{prop}$  and the amplitude speed ratio  $r_s$ . The first one denotes the part of the kite load useful for propulsion (i.e., the projection of the kite force on the  $x_{\psi}$ -axis):

$$r_{prop} = \frac{\underline{F}_k \cdot \underline{x}_{\psi}}{F_k}.$$
(25)

The amplitude speed ratio denotes the maximum speed variation during the kite eight pattern in comparison with the average speed:

$$r_{s} = \frac{\max(V_{s}) - \min(V_{s})}{\mu_{V_{s}}} = \frac{\Delta V_{s}}{\mu_{V_{s}}}.$$
(26)

Moreover, other quantities are introduced denoting the variation of some parameters for the boat, as the amplitudes of the rudder angle  $\Delta \delta_R$ , the heading angle  $\Delta \psi$ , the roll angle  $\Delta \phi_s$  and the amplitude of the pitch angle  $\Delta \theta_s$ . On the kite side, the amplitude of the kite steering command  $\Delta \delta$ , the amplitudes in azimuth  $\Delta \phi$  and in elevation  $\Delta \theta$  are shown in Table 3. The first three columns denote the short name of the case, the kite used and the true wind angle  $\beta_{WT}$ . This parameter is very sensible. The case d04f1 is remarkable for the very low amplitude in elevation angle. This represents a turning radius of about 3 meters, which is very tight given the size of the kite and well below the limit identified by Fagiano [3].

**Table 3.** Relevant quantities taken out from the ten runs suitable with the phase-averaging method. Angles are given in degrees, and the steering amplitude is given in meter. The blue line denote the case which is plotted in Figures 11 and 18. Additional data is available in Appendix A.

Case	Kite	$\beta_{WT}$	r <sub>prop</sub>	ra	r <sub>b</sub>	r <sub>s</sub>	$ riangle \delta_R$	$\bigtriangleup \psi$	$ riangle \phi_s$	$ riangle  heta_s$	$ riangle \delta$	$C_k$	$ riangle \phi$	riangle  heta
d01f7	Cab. 5	137	0.76	1.28	0.20	0.13	12.1	2.4	4.7	1.3	0.75	4.3	49.3	22.7
d02f5	Cab. 12	182	0.47	0.90	0.19	0.11	8.2	3.1	1.5	0.8	0.87	4.8	69.6	10.1
d02f6	Cab. 12	182	0.67	0.96	0.20	0.10	2.9	4.2	2.0	1.0	0.85	12.9	57.9	10.1
d04f1	Cab. 12	183	0.62	0.95	0.22	0.09	4.1	3.9	1.6	0.6	0.66	4.0	50.9	7.0
d05f4	Cab. 12	179	0.69	1.12	0.20	0.11	4.2	2.8	1.9	0.5	0.92	12.7	53.9	9.5
d07f12	Cab. 12	121	0.60	2.00	0.21	0.34	7.8	4.7	3.8	1.4	0.91	5.2	41.4	23.7
d07f18	Cab. 12	263	0.31	1.80	0.23	0.y31	12.0	18.6	10.7	0.8	0.97	3.6	47.2	13.5
d08f1	Cab. 12	180	0.80	1.34	0.22	0.16	4.7	1.4	1.5	0.7	1.07	8.1	52.9	9.5
d10f8	BTS	220	0.72	1.18	0.38	0.15	7.2	4.0	3.3	1.1	0.70	4.0	54.4	25.9
d11f4	BTS	106	0.49	1.22	0.40	0.14	12.9	6.2	4.0	1.9	0.73	3.2	51.1	21.7

The force coefficient,  $C_k$ , already used for onshore trials in Reference [21], was naturally computed here with the relative wind speed instead of the true wind speed. This parameter is very sensible. For two very close configurations (D02f5 and D02f6 in Table 3), dramatic differences are observed. Thus, the propulsion power is doubled, while at the same time, the force coefficient goes from 4.8 to 12.9. The only culprit appears to be a  $15^{\circ}$  change in elevation angle from  $65^{\circ}$  to  $50^{\circ}$ . The speed of the boat was therefore increased by more than 26%. Another interesting thing to note is the fall of 65% in terms of the amplitude of the rudder angle, reflecting an appreciable increase in stability. Compared to onshore results, in the present study, the force coefficients recorded values were significantly lower by more than 20%. This may be explained by the fact that in the onshore campaign, all tests were conducted in "crosswind conditions", as called by the Airborne Wind Energy (AWE) research community (i.e., full downwind). Instead, the results presented in the Table 3 were obtained with true wind directions from the beam reach  $(106^\circ)$  to the full downwind  $(180^\circ)$ . In line with the zero-mass model, the results showed a sharp decrease in the force coefficient as the wind angles decreased. Thus, the lowest value of 3.2 in force coefficient corresponds to the lowest wind angle  $(106^{\circ})$  while the highest value (12.9) corresponds to full downwind (182°). Force coefficient was also computed with the method presented in Section 5.3 (see Appendix A). A top value of 20 was reached in the full downwind case (d05f10), which is significantly higher (+24%) than that obtained onshore [21]. This result may be sensitive to wind estimation at kite altitude. A sensitivity analysis should then be carried out using the different methods described in Section 4.4 to verify the consistency of this result. As an example, one case was selected (Case d05f4 in Table 3). Results for this case are shown in Figures 11 and 18. The variations of the lift to drag ratio and the lift coefficient along the trajectories are still not easy to interpret, with no generic scheme. The speed of the boat correlates with the propulsive force with a slight phase shift (inertia). The variations of the roll angle are clearly in line with the side force intensity. The stronger the side force is, the larger the amplitude of the roll angle. Such large side forces occur in reaching sailing conditions leading to roll angle over 10° (case d07f18 in Table 3).



**Figure 18.** Results of the phase-averaging process applied to a downwind run (case d05f4). (**a**) elevation and azimuth of the kite. (**b**) tension on back tethers and back tether ratio. (**c**) lift coefficient and lift to drag ratio of the kite. (**d**) attitude and speed of the boat.

#### 6. Discussion

The objective of this experimental study carried out on a boat was to acquire relevant data to analyse the behaviour of a boat towed by a kite, and more generally for benchmarking numerical modelling adapted to this type of analysis. In this context a large amount of data was obtained. The first analyses showed sensible data regarding the expected behaviour of a ship towed by a kite. However, the entire set of data acquired during this work is not fully processed. The estimation of the wind at a given point of the sailing area could be improved by using more data coming from the various sensors and modelling deployed during the trials. Otherwise, in addition to manoeuvrability tests that were achieved but not considered in this study, other tests or numerical simulations will be probably necessary to get an enhanced modelling of the kiteboat.

Variations of kite characteristics according to the position of the kite along an eight trajectory are still not easy to identify. Indeed, no repeatable trend was highlighted, but these variations seem all the time linked to the position along the trajectory. An improvement of the weather modelling, by integrating wind data measurements carried out over the sailing area could enhance the estimation of the true wind speed on the kite, but this will probably not resolve the whole problem. Such analyses are far more complex to achieve on a moving platform, and it seems more relevant to carry out this type of study with an onshore fieldwork. Indeed the analysis of the data had shown that the boat motions seems to impact the tether tension. However, there is, for the moment, no clue allowing us to conclude whether this increase of the tether tension impacts also the kite position, or if this variation is absorbed by a deformation of the tethers. This work on the lift to drag ratios and the lift coefficients allows nevertheless to corroborate the amplitudes of the variations that are pointed out in Reference [21].

On the performance side, as expected, they were excellent downwind with a top force coefficient of 20 (i.e., Equivalent to a drag coefficient in that particular case). In these conditions, the boat is faster than the wind at the measurement point (5.5 m in altitude). It simply means that the crew had the feeling of going faster than the wind by tailwind. For the upwind conditions, the performances were not so spectacular but remained fairly good taking into account the sizes of kite used compared to the displacement of the boat. The results are comparable to those of Leloup et al. [14], given that the boat tested at the time was 27% longer, twice heavier and had a sail area three times larger. However, performance was clearly not the aim of the present study. The objective was to obtain the most reliable data. Therefore, repeatability of measurements, long measurement times and stable conditions were sought. Kite take-off from water is not that easy than onshore. In order to increase the measurement time and avoid crashing in the water, high elevation angles were preferred. A comparison between two similar cases made in Section 5.3 showed that the propulsive power can be multiply by a factor of 2 when the elevation angle target is reduced from 60 to  $45^{\circ}$ . The propulsion power should be doubled once again by targeting the optimal elevation angle, which is between 15 and 20° according to the literature [12,14,15]. This gave an overview of the exceptional performance achievable by a kite. However, the autopilot needs to be improved to reach such low angles reliably. Given that roll angles, during the trials, remained below  $2.4^{\circ}$  for true wind angles lower than  $70^{\circ}$ , upwind performances could be largely improved, increasing the kite area. Theoretically, it is possible to imagine an area of 75 m<sup>2</sup> in order to reach a typical roll angle of 15°. Nevertheless, several obstacles were observed during these tests. First, at low speed some manoeuvrability issues were encountered. Second, in the case of structure or kite steering failures, it is important to keep in mind that the kite can go at any moment to dynamic mode. Therefore, kite typically develops forces up to 24 times higher than in static modes with the models tested. This occurred only once during the tests, resulting in damage to the boat and an injury, fortunately slight, for one of the crew members. No clear explanation was found. It happened with a 5 m<sup>2</sup> kite. With larger sizes, more damage is to be expected, even a capsize is possible.

#### 7. Conclusions

Ten full days of measurements were achieved, leading to a hundred recorded runs, one run being a five-minute record, keeping all parameters as fixed as possible. Various configurations of sailing were tested, using different kites, different lengths of tethers, different daggerboards, different points of sail and so various true wind angles. First, all these data were analysed using only average values for each run. This led to an overview of the kiteboat performance. It allowed us to study the impact of some elements of the boat, as for example the daggerboards, or to study the impact of true wind angles on boat motions. The electrical power required to control the kite was also checked with respect to the propulsive power provided by the kite. Results showed that in some configurations the power provided by the kite was not really greater than the power used for control purpose.

Second, the conditional phase averaging method, developed for the post processing of the previous experimental campaign, was adapted to the data coming from the kite-boat. Ten cases were found as suitable for such a method, with a sufficient reproducibility of the eight pattern trajectories. The analysis of the results of the phase averaging method allowed to observe accurately the influence of the kite on the boat. For instance, the influence of the kite on the boat motion was highlighted. Especially when it flies in dynamic mode and therefore generates a periodic load on the boat.

However, the entire set of data acquired in the scope of this campaign could not be fully post processed, and a lot of work is still achievable. Nevertheless, this first analysis of the results showed that the data acquired are sensible. They provide a good database for benchmarking of numerical modelling.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.R. methodology, K.R., P.I., M.B., J.-B.L.; software, M.B.; formal analysis, J.-B.L.; validation, J.-B.L., K.R. and M.B.; writing—original draft preparation, K.R., M.B.; writing—review and editing, K.R.; supervision, K.R.; project administration, Y.P.; funding acquisition, Y.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the French Environment and Energy Management Agency. GRANT NUMBER: 1482C0090.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

#### Appendix A. Kiteboat Averaged Results

This appendix includes the average results of the 101 tests carried out in the navigation area and two others on the return to port, all summarized in the following figures:

#### Average Result for All Runs of the Kiteboat, with Kite

b         b			ime UTC				gth	ą											r Ratio				gle (°)	ower	Power	(N/m²)	Pa)		e (°C)
mage         mage <th< th=""><th></th><th>a</th><th>T Pr</th><th>s) u</th><th>me</th><th>e</th><th>Leng</th><th>boar</th><th></th><th>_</th><th>/s)</th><th>_</th><th>(s/r</th><th>_</th><th>~</th><th>-</th><th>_</th><th>-</th><th>ethe</th><th>î</th><th></th><th>•</th><th>Ani</th><th>or P</th><th>sive</th><th>oad</th><th>e (h</th><th></th><th>raur</th></th<>		a	T Pr	s) u	me	e	Leng	boar		_	/s)	_	(s/r	_	~	-	_	-	ethe	î		•	Ani	or P	sive	oad	e (h		raur
		Nan Nan	te ar	ratio	e Na	e Siz	her	nter	otor	°) A (°	-S	。) 0	<u>ل</u>	°. U	.) 9	ۍ ب	(.) II	N N	R Te	) do.	(。)	eta (	dder	(	indo (	ng L	Inss	(%)	hpe
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	g		Da	a	<u><u> </u></u>	kit	Te	S	ž	2	Ę	Ę	So	8	<u> </u>	Ë	8	Ť.	Ba	Ē	F	Ê	2	₽ S	Pro (N	Š	- E	표	Ē
a)         a)         a)         a)         a)         a)         b)         b)<	1	d01f3Cab5_C2bis_180	3/28/17 12:06	26/	Cabrinha	5	50	SID	NO	181.9	8.8	225.2	3.6	38.5	43.3	2.9	1.6	/09.8	0.22	527.5	3.4	40.2	-3.2	NaN	1911.4	142.0	1023.9	66.7	13.3
abs         abs <td>- 2</td> <td>d01f5Cab5_C2bis_MOTEUR_60</td> <td>3/28/17 12:21</td> <td>2/9</td> <td>Cabrinha</td> <td>5</td> <td>50</td> <td>STD</td> <td>YES</td> <td>296.7</td> <td>7.0</td> <td>227.8</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>288.6</td> <td>278.2</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>2 1</td> <td>152.8</td> <td>0.21</td> <td>58.7</td> <td>-58.0 64.3</td> <td>17.5</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>182.3</td> <td>28.4</td> <td>1024.0</td> <td>68.9</td> <td>12.9</td>	- 2	d01f5Cab5_C2bis_MOTEUR_60	3/28/17 12:21	2/9	Cabrinha	5	50	STD	YES	296.7	7.0	227.8	3.2	288.6	278.2	3.1	2 1	152.8	0.21	58.7	-58.0 64.3	17.5	3.5	NaN	182.3	28.4	1024.0	68.9	12.9
Sources/Creas/Creas/Creas/Creas/Field         Sources/Creas/Creas/Field         Sources/Creas/Field         Sources/Field         Sourc	4	d01f6Cab5_C2bis_160	3/28/17 12:20	357	Cabrinha	5	50	STD	NO	156.1	7.0	214.5	3.1	52.9	62.5	2.4	1.0	502.2	0.20	405.0	-0.5	32.5	-2.5	NaN	1235.2	100.4	1024.0	67.3	13.3
electronics Cabs, Mul6o         12/21/13.28         29/21/21/20         5         5         5         5         5         7         2         16         5         2         16         2         16         2         16         2         16         2         16         17         12         13         12         13         12         13         13         12         13         13         13         14         14         14         14         14         14         14         14         14 </td <td>5</td> <td>d01f7Cab5_C2bis_140</td> <td>3/28/17 12:48</td> <td>299</td> <td>Cabrinha</td> <td>5</td> <td>50</td> <td>STD</td> <td>NO</td> <td>136.6</td> <td>6.8</td> <td>216.8</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>67.5</td> <td>80.1</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>494.4</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>372.2</td> <td>-16.7</td> <td>32.7</td> <td>-2.5</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>1040.4</td> <td>98.9</td> <td>1023.9</td> <td>68.2</td> <td>13.1</td>	5	d01f7Cab5_C2bis_140	3/28/17 12:48	299	Cabrinha	5	50	STD	NO	136.6	6.8	216.8	2.9	67.5	80.1	2.1	0.6	494.4	0.20	372.2	-16.7	32.7	-2.5	NaN	1040.4	98.9	1023.9	68.2	13.1
Pictropack       Ches       Mathematic	6	d01f8Cab5 C2bis M160	3/28/17 13:20	297	Cabrinha	5	50	STD	NO	202.0	7.8	219.6	3.3	19.5	17.6	2.7	1.6	635.2	0.24	471.2	2.6	38.9	-4.3	NaN	1551.7	127.0	1023.9	67.0	13.2
B         B         Control         12         SOUTO         NO         Ro         Ro         Ro         NO         NO         So         Sou	7	d01f9Cab5_C2bis_M140	3/28/17 13:25	299	Cabrinha	5	50	STD	NO	222.1	8.6	217.9	3.5	2.1	355.9	2.9	2.6	710.9	0.24	482.6	19.1	38.3	-5.2	NaN	1665.2	142.2	1023.8	65.8	13.4
9       9       9       9       0       2       0       2       0       0       0       2       0	8	d02f1Cab12_C2bis_80	3/29/17 10:19	294	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	80.6	6.8	181.9	1.9	89.7	101.3	1.9	0.5	315.2	0.26	226.5	-34.8	28.6	1.9	NaN	428.4	26.3	1025.3	74.9	11.9
10       02020ch2       C2bs       M030       0.2       82       8.0       9.0       9.0.3       0.2       8.0       9.0       9.0.3       0.2       8.0       9.0.2       9.0       9.0.3       0.2       2.0       1.0       9.0.5       1.0      1.0       1.0       1.0 <td>9</td> <td>d02f2Cab12_C2bis_M80</td> <td>3/29/17 10:26</td> <td>299</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>STD</td> <td>NO</td> <td>283.5</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>177.9</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>259.7</td> <td>254.4</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>347.0</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>235.4</td> <td>41.0</td> <td>25.2</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>522.9</td> <td>28.9</td> <td>1025.4</td> <td>75.0</td> <td>11.9</td>	9	d02f2Cab12_C2bis_M80	3/29/17 10:26	299	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	283.5	6.5	177.9	2.2	259.7	254.4	2.0	2.3	347.0	0.26	235.4	41.0	25.2	3.1	NaN	522.9	28.9	1025.4	75.0	11.9
11       11       12       25       57       N       20       23       23       23       24.3       24.8       22.8       34       74.5       20.8       22.8       34       74.5       20.8       22.8       34       24.5       22.8       23       22.8       23       22.8       22.8       23       22.8       23       22.8       23       23.8       22.8       23       22.8       23       22.8       23       22.8       23       22.8       23       22.8       23.9       23.8       23.9       23.8       23.9       23.8       23.9       23.8       23.9       23.8       23.9       23.8       23	10	d02f3Cab12_C2bis_100STAT	3/29/17 10:31	302	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	99.5	6.8	183.6	2.1	73.8	84.1	1.9	0.4	300.3	0.25	245.0	-17.3	30.9	2.9	NaN	506.7	25.0	1025.4	74.4	12.0
12       0276 Cold 2. Cole, 180 (56)       3/20/11/22 (2b)       280 (Cole 10)       53       43       360 (35)       54       13       800 (55)       36       45       53       94       100 (50)       130 (50) <t< td=""><td>11</td><td>d02f4Cab12_C2bis_M100STAT</td><td>3/29/17 10:37</td><td>299</td><td>Cabrinha2</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>STD</td><td>NO</td><td>262.2</td><td>6.4</td><td>178.5</td><td>2.2</td><td>283.3</td><td>276.3</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.3</td><td>261.3</td><td>0.26</td><td>222.8</td><td>19.4</td><td>24.5</td><td>2.7</td><td>NaN</td><td>475.8</td><td>21.8</td><td>1025.4</td><td>74.2</td><td>12.0</td></t<>	11	d02f4Cab12_C2bis_M100STAT	3/29/17 10:37	299	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	262.2	6.4	178.5	2.2	283.3	276.3	2.0	2.3	261.3	0.26	222.8	19.4	24.5	2.7	NaN	475.8	21.8	1025.4	74.2	12.0
1=100074-001 / Lote: 1aoU         3/20/1712-33         2=40 Carring: 1aoU         3/20/1712-33         2=40 Carring: 1aoU         3/20/1712-33         2=50 Carring: 1aoU         3/20/1712-31         2=50 Carring: 1aOU         3/20/1712-30         3/20/1	12	d02f5Cab12_C2bis_180_E65	3/29/17 12:26	296	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	181.5	6.6	173.9	3.4	354.0	352.5	2.4	1.3	808.6	0.20	381.6	5.3	59.9	-3.0	NaN	1280.3	67.4	1024.7	75.0	12.3
and matrix         and mat	13	d02f6Cab12_C2bis_180_E50	3/29/17 12:33	254	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	182.7	6.3	179.7	4.3	358.8	357.0	3.3	1.0	928.7	0.20	615.7	3.8	46.2	-2.6	NaN	2604.0	77.4	1024.8	74.5	12.5
100000000000000000000000000000	14	d02f7Cab12_C2bis_120	3/29/1/13:01	297	Cabrinha2	12	50	SID	NO	115.9	5.8	1/9.9	3.3	49.8	64.0	3.1	0.2	915.5	0.20	487.6	-36.6	44.6	-3.4	NaN	1599.2	76.3	1024.4	76.0	12.2
10       10 <td< td=""><td>15</td><td>d02f8Cab12_C2bis_M120</td><td>3/29/17 13:51</td><td>297</td><td>Cabrinha2</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>STD</td><td>NO</td><td>241.8</td><td>5.6</td><td>1/4.6</td><td>3.5</td><td>302.9</td><td>292.9</td><td>3.0</td><td>3.1</td><td>913.6</td><td>0.22</td><td>4/6.2</td><td>36.4</td><td>45.1</td><td>-2.4</td><td>NaN</td><td>1695.2</td><td>140.2</td><td>1023.9</td><td>75.8</td><td>12.1</td></td<>	15	d02f8Cab12_C2bis_M120	3/29/17 13:51	297	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	241.8	5.6	1/4.6	3.5	302.9	292.9	3.0	3.1	913.6	0.22	4/6.2	36.4	45.1	-2.4	NaN	1695.2	140.2	1023.9	75.8	12.1
11       11       12 <td< td=""><td>10</td><td>d02f9Cab12_C2bis_100</td><td>3/29/17 13:57</td><td>296</td><td>Cabrinha2</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>STD</td><td>NO</td><td>260.2</td><td>5.8</td><td>171.4</td><td>3.5</td><td>200.0</td><td>271.1</td><td>3.1</td><td>-2.4</td><td>1100 0</td><td>0.25</td><td>499.5</td><td>-61.2</td><td>46.5</td><td>-2.5</td><td>NaN</td><td>1050.3</td><td>140.2</td><td>1023.8</td><td>76.0</td><td>12.1</td></td<>	10	d02f9Cab12_C2bis_100	3/29/17 13:57	296	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	260.2	5.8	171.4	3.5	200.0	271.1	3.1	-2.4	1100 0	0.25	499.5	-61.2	46.5	-2.5	NaN	1050.3	140.2	1023.8	76.0	12.1
19       dept132_cb132_cb132_cb13_cb0       1379/17 14:19       290       cb1       50       150       750       112       1071       115       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012       115       012 </td <td>19</td> <td>d02f11Cab12_C2bis_MOTELIR_60</td> <td>3/29/17 14:03</td> <td>207</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>STD</td> <td>VES</td> <td>65.8</td> <td>5.4</td> <td>1/1.4</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>110.0</td> <td>123.3</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>178.2</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>77.6</td> <td>-62.7</td> <td>18.5</td> <td>-2.0</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>14.9</td> <td>1023.8</td> <td>76.0</td> <td>12.1</td>	19	d02f11Cab12_C2bis_MOTELIR_60	3/29/17 14:03	207	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	VES	65.8	5.4	1/1.4	2.7	110.0	123.3	2.5	4.5	178.2	0.24	77.6	-62.7	18.5	-2.0	NaN	100.0	14.9	1023.8	76.0	12.1
20000713Cab12 C2bs, 100       2000713Cab12 C2bs, 100       2100714Cab12 C2bs, 100       32007134c5       355 Cabrenhaz       12       505 TD       NO       136.4 6.6       177       28       131       25.6       57.6       12.2       1200714Cab12 C2bs, 100       32007134c5       392.0       150.0       120.0       151.0 </td <td>19</td> <td>d02f12Cab12_C2bis_MOTEUR_M60</td> <td>3/29/17 14:11</td> <td>299</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>STD</td> <td>YES</td> <td>302.4</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>181.8</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>240.9</td> <td>239.4</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>191 5</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>61.9</td> <td>70.1</td> <td>18.7</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>178.1</td> <td>16.0</td> <td>1023.6</td> <td>76.0</td> <td>12.1</td>	19	d02f12Cab12_C2bis_MOTEUR_M60	3/29/17 14:11	299	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	YES	302.4	4.6	181.8	2.7	240.9	239.4	2.0	2.4	191 5	0.10	61.9	70.1	18.7	6.5	NaN	178.1	16.0	1023.6	76.0	12.1
21       21       20214Cab12       21       505       No       32       21       505       No       32       24       34	20	d02f13Cab12_C2bis_160	3/29/17 14:19	355	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	161.6	4.4	177.7	2.9	19.1	16.1	2.4	1.3	605.8	0.19	380.4	-3.5	49.8	-1.2	NaN	1105.5	50.5	1023.6	76.0	12.1
22       22       24       25       15 <td< td=""><td>21</td><td>d02f14Cab12 C2bis 140</td><td>3/29/17 14:40</td><td>299</td><td>Cabrinha2</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>STD</td><td>NO</td><td>138.4</td><td>4.6</td><td>171.5</td><td>3.1</td><td>31.5</td><td>33.1</td><td>2.5</td><td>0.8</td><td>713.2</td><td>0.20</td><td>396.9</td><td>-21.9</td><td>50.6</td><td>-1.3</td><td>NaN</td><td>1240.3</td><td>59.4</td><td>1023.5</td><td>76.0</td><td>12.2</td></td<>	21	d02f14Cab12 C2bis 140	3/29/17 14:40	299	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	138.4	4.6	171.5	3.1	31.5	33.1	2.5	0.8	713.2	0.20	396.9	-21.9	50.6	-1.3	NaN	1240.3	59.4	1023.5	76.0	12.2
123       021976Cab12       C2bis, M140       3/29/1712500       290       Softm N0       122       50 STD       N0       182.6       21.9       488.1       0.16       288.1       166       47.3       1.9       NN       N7.6       382       102.1       123       370.0       122.0       20.8       1.0       20.0       20.8       1.6       2.3       2.0       2.0       1.0       20.0       20.8       1.6       2.3       NN       NNN       NNN <td>22</td> <td>d02f15Cab12 C2bis M160</td> <td>3/29/17 14:55</td> <td>296</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>STD</td> <td>NO</td> <td>202.2</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>166.8</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>328.9</td> <td>324.7</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>516.0</td> <td>0.18</td> <td>327.3</td> <td>-0.8</td> <td>47.9</td> <td>-1.8</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>968.6</td> <td>43.0</td> <td>1023.4</td> <td>76.0</td> <td>12.1</td>	22	d02f15Cab12 C2bis M160	3/29/17 14:55	296	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	202.2	4.6	166.8	2.9	328.9	324.7	2.1	1.6	516.0	0.18	327.3	-0.8	47.9	-1.8	NaN	968.6	43.0	1023.4	76.0	12.1
24       3/30/17 12:32       293       Cabrinha       12       50 57D       NO       3/20       12       51       16       12       100	23	d02f16Cab12 C2bis M140	3/29/17 15:00	296	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	220.5	4.7	163.6	2.6	307.6	303.1	2.2	1.9	458.1	0.16	288.5	15.6	47.3	-1.9	NaN	777.6	38.2	1023.3	76.0	12.2
25       33/347.012       29       82.6.2mmA2       12       50.5Tm       NO       34.5       5.5       14.9       3.10       22.00       20.48       16       23.NaN       NaN       NaN <t< td=""><td>24</td><td>d03f2Cab12_C2bis_180</td><td>3/30/17 12:32</td><td>293</td><td>Cabrinha2</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>STD</td><td>NO</td><td>181.2</td><td>6.1</td><td>136.5</td><td>4.9</td><td>322.7</td><td>315.3</td><td>3.7</td><td>1.0</td><td>NaN</td><td>NaN</td><td>NaN</td><td>-2.3</td><td>46.9</td><td>-0.9</td><td>NaN</td><td>NaN</td><td>NaN</td><td>1018.1</td><td>65.2</td><td>13.9</td></t<>	24	d03f2Cab12_C2bis_180	3/30/17 12:32	293	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	181.2	6.1	136.5	4.9	322.7	315.3	3.7	1.0	NaN	NaN	NaN	-2.3	46.9	-0.9	NaN	NaN	NaN	1018.1	65.2	13.9
266       330/17.12x1       288       280       20037       121       50.5       147.0       12       50.5       147.0       12       50.5       147.0       12       50.5       147.0       12       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       120       50.5       147.0       148.0       120 </td <td>25</td> <td>d03f3Cab12_C2bis_M60</td> <td>3/30/17 12:39</td> <td>298</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>STD</td> <td>NO</td> <td>304.5</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>149.3</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>220.0</td> <td>204.8</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>54.5</td> <td>16.9</td> <td>6.2</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>1018.0</td> <td>66.8</td> <td>13.7</td>	25	d03f3Cab12_C2bis_M60	3/30/17 12:39	298	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	304.5	5.2	149.3	1.0	220.0	204.8	1.6	2.3	NaN	NaN	NaN	54.5	16.9	6.2	NaN	NaN	NaN	1018.0	66.8	13.7
27       303°G Lab L2, Cabis, MOTEUR, 600       3/30/17 L2:53       280       06       55.9       5.7       14.9       30.6       65.0       28.0       NaN<	26	d03f4Cab12_C2bis_60	3/30/17 12:45	298	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	62.7	5.5	147.0	1.2	65.4	84.4	1.6	0.6	NaN	NaN	NaN	-45.2	15.7	-4.8	NaN	NaN	NaN	1017.9	67.0	13.6
128       030/071 12:59       300/0 Cabrinhaz       12       500 YE KS       304.9       214.8       22.8       20.48       22.8       20.48       Na       Na <td>27</td> <td>d03f5Cab12_C2bis_MOTEUR_60</td> <td>3/30/17 12:51</td> <td>298</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>STD</td> <td>YES</td> <td>55.9</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>141.9</td> <td>3.0</td> <td>69.5</td> <td>86.0</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>-59.5</td> <td>21.5</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>1017.8</td> <td>68.4</td> <td>13.3</td>	27	d03f5Cab12_C2bis_MOTEUR_60	3/30/17 12:51	298	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	YES	55.9	5.7	141.9	3.0	69.5	86.0	2.6	0.0	NaN	NaN	NaN	-59.5	21.5	2.8	NaN	NaN	NaN	1017.8	68.4	13.3
29       0393CabS_C2bis, M120       3/30/1713:33       249       (2b) STD       NO       23.97       (6.6)       14.15       3.4       27.29       26.8       2.5       2.3       NN       N	28	d03f6Cab12_C2bis_MOTEUR_M60	3/30/17 12:59	300	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	YES	304.1	5.7	148.9	2.8	204.8	204.8	2.7	2.8	NaN	NaN	NaN	64.0	16.7	6.4	NaN	NaN	NaN	1017.7	67.3	13.5
30 do41(ab 2 Cbs 180 E50       3/31/17 10:26       300 (abrima 5 50 STD N0       183.4       6.1       126.9       2.5       43.6       13.4       42.0       1.4       45.0       0.23       28.0       A0       50.3       1.0       N0       125.6       125.4       2.6       34.0       125.8       1.8       34.9       2.8       NN       NN       83.7       128.8       1.8       34.0       30.1       1.8       34.0       30.1       1.8       34.0       1.8       34.0       2.8       NN       NN       1.83       1.8       1.8       34.0       1.8       34.0       2.8       NN       NN       68.3       9.0       1.0       1.0       1.3       31.0       1.8       1.0       1.8       3.0       1.6       0.0       1.2       1.8       1.8       1.0       1.8       1.0       1.0       NN       68.3       1.00       1.0	29	d03f9Cab5_C2bis_M120	3/30/17 13:43	249	Cabrinha	5	50	STD	NO	239.7	6.6	141.5	3.4	272.9	261.8	2.5	2.3	NaN	NaN	NaN	40.1	33.2	-3.3	NaN	NaN	NaN	1016.9	67.2	13.5
31       0472 (2ab 5 (2bi 5 M120       3/31/17 (10:2)       299 (2abrinha       5       50 (5TD       NO       25.5       36.1       12.8       3.6       64.9       20.1       2.5       36.1       45.8       34.9       1.2       NN       857.5       12.1       12.5         32       04073 (2ab (2ab (2ab (2ab (2ab (2ab (2ab (2ab	30	d04f1Cab5_C2bis_180_E50	3/31/17 10:26	300	Cabrinha	5	50	STD	NO	183.4	6.1	216.9	2.5	43.6	33.4	2.0	1.4	454.0	0.23	283.0	4.0	50.3	-1.0	NaN	683.7	90.8	1014.9	70.7	12.6
22 dot4x abs_Czbis_M100       3/31/10/10/8       29/ (abrinha       5 05/10       NO       76.5       65.9       71.1       19       36.0       12.4       4.6       81.00       0.23       17.4       68.8       82.1       1.7       1.9       83.5       16.0       12.2       1.8       81.0       0.22       1.8       81.0       0.22       1.8       81.0       0.22       1.8       81.0       1.2       1.8       81.0       0.22       1.8       81.0       1.7       1.9       No       63.0       64.2       1.7       1.9       No       1.6       1.8       1.9       1.2       1.8       0.2       1.8       1.1       1.8       0.0       2.4       1.8       1.9       1.2       0.3       62.5       1.9       1.1       1.9       No       1.2       0.5       1.0       1.2       0.5       1.1       1.9       1.2       0.3       62.5       1.9       1.1       1.9       1.2       1.0       1.2       0.3       62.5       1.4       1.3       1.2       1.2       0.3       62.5       1.4       1.3       1.4       1.4       1.4       1.4       1.4       1.4       1.4       1.4       1.4       1.4	31	d04f2Cab5_C2bis_M120	3/31/17 10:32	295	Cabrinha	5	50	STD	NO	245.3	6.3	215.4	2.6	344.0	330.1	2.3	3.6	649.2	0.25	346.1	45.8	34.9	-2.8	NaN	857.5	129.8	1014.9	72.0	12.5
33       0571C40       24/5/17/929       299       Cabrinda       5       0571C       NO       174/91       52       230       123       210       233       240       13       318.8       0.22       266       18       17       1-13       NM       668-4       68.3       103.0       694       122.3         34       d6057Cab12       C2bis       MANDVN       M80       4/5/17       101.15       301       Cabrinha       5       50       ND <n0< td="">       284.8       5.6       37.6       18       119.4       112.2       20.3       46.2       19       61       41.0       70.4       103.0       64.7       12.6       12.6       50       ND<n0< td="">       788.8       5.6       37.6       18       119.6       112.4       12.7       21.0       21.0       21.3       15.7       24.0       21.4       21.3       21.0       21.3       13.7       10.4       10.3       14.8       10.4       12.6       12.6       12.6       12.6       12.6       12.6       12.6       13.7       10.4       14.0       10.0       10.0       14.0       10.0       10.0       10.0       10.0       10.0       10.0       10.0       10.0</n0<></n0<>	32	d04f3Cab5_C2bis_M100	3/31/1/10:45	297	Cabrinha	5	50	SID	NO	265.5	6.9	211.7	1.9	326.0	306.1	2.2	4.6	810.0	0.23	1/6.4	68.5	42.1	-1./	NaN	313.5	162.0	1014.9	/0./	12.7
44       05742.05       212       127.2       1	33		4/5/17 9:29	299	Cabrinna	5	50	SID	NU	1/9.1	5.2	23.0	2.5	201.0	203.9	2.0	1.3	318.8	0.23	266.2	1.8	31.7	-1.3	NaN	008.4	63.8	1030.5	59.4	12.5
3)       3)       3)       3)       10 <td< td=""><td>34</td><td>d05f2Cab12_C2Dis_M100</td><td>4/5/1/ 9:36</td><td>297</td><td>Cabrinha</td><td>5</td><td>50</td><td>STD</td><td>NO</td><td>262.4</td><td>4.8</td><td>29.5</td><td>1.9</td><td>110.6</td><td>1127.2</td><td>2.0</td><td>3.0</td><td>462.8</td><td>0.24</td><td>185.2</td><td>57.8</td><td>32.8</td><td>-3.2</td><td>NaN</td><td>303.7</td><td>92.0</td><td>1030.4</td><td>70.2</td><td>12.4</td></td<>	34	d05f2Cab12_C2Dis_M100	4/5/1/ 9:36	297	Cabrinha	5	50	STD	NO	262.4	4.8	29.5	1.9	110.6	1127.2	2.0	3.0	462.8	0.24	185.2	57.8	32.8	-3.2	NaN	303.7	92.0	1030.4	70.2	12.4
37       055       051       05	30	d05f4Cab12_C2bis_MANDTN_W80	4/5/17 10:15	207	Cabrinha?	12	50	STD	NO	178.8	5.0	37.0	3.5	210.4	213.3	2.0	3.9	724.9	0.25	141.8	1.0	45.1	-0.8	NaN	1733 7	60.4	1030.4	68.7	12.0
37       005/10000       4/5/17       11:33       294       Cabrinada       12       505/10       N0       15:35       12:15	37	d05f5Cab12_C2bis_100_E30	4/5/17 11:17	315	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	285.3	5.4	/8.8	3.5	114.8	123.6	3.2	6.5	1089.6	0.21	433.0	60.2	28.0	-1.8	NaN	1304 5	90.4	1030.2	68.5	13.4
39       doS/77cabl2       Cbis       Aug	38	d05f6Cab12_C2bis_MANDYN_80	4/5/17 11:20	294	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	83.5	6.1	18.2	3.2	289.7	294.6	2.7	-1.2	1075.1	0.24	426.6	-59.4	34.2	0.0	NaN	1410 5	89.6	1030.2	67.9	13.1
40       do58Cab12       Cbis       160       E35       4/5/1712:22       29       Cabrinhaz       12       50       STD       NO       155.4       7.5       16.3       4.6       21.8       20.8       8.1       10       100.8       0.24       83.5       4.6       63.1       -0.1       NA       460.6       90.1       103.0       65.1       13.3         41       do59Cab12       Cbis       M160       53.8       2.6       85.0       4.6       63.7       -1.6       NA       431.7       20.9       103.0       65.1       13.3       15       84.3       10.2       85.8       -6.6       7.5       1.3       NA       431.0       20.5       100.0       7.2       20.7       5.1       181.4       190.7       4.2       2.8       100.8       0.24       85.7       1.6       10.8       2.6       85.7       1.3       1.4       100.7       2.5       85.0       1.6       10.8       1.6       10.8       10.4       10.02       10.3       4.2       12.9       11.8       10.2       10.8       1.3       1.4       10.7       12.1       11.8       10.2       10.8       10.2       10.2       10.2       10.2 <td>39</td> <td>d05f7Cab12 C2bis 180 E35</td> <td>4/5/17 11:45</td> <td>298</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>STD</td> <td>NO</td> <td>174.3</td> <td>6.0</td> <td>23.2</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>203.0</td> <td>208.9</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>833.7</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>644.6</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>37.3</td> <td>-1.7</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>2541.3</td> <td>69.5</td> <td>1030.3</td> <td>67.0</td> <td>13.4</td>	39	d05f7Cab12 C2bis 180 E35	4/5/17 11:45	298	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	174.3	6.0	23.2	4.0	203.0	208.9	3.5	2.3	833.7	0.24	644.6	1.3	37.3	-1.7	NaN	2541.3	69.5	1030.3	67.0	13.4
41       doS902ab12_C2bis       M160_E35       4/5/1712:26       226       Gamma2       12       50       STD       NO       1900       7.2       20.7       5.1       18.4       190.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       4.2       2.8       100.7       1.3       100.7	40	d05f8Cab12 C2bis 160 E35	4/5/17 12:21	299	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	155.4	7.5	16.3	4.6	218.8	220.8	4.1	1.0	1080.8	0.24	835.0	-4.6	36.1	-0.1	NaN	3860.6	90.1	1030.4	66.1	13.3
42       069510Cabi2       C2bis       180       45       172.3       56       10.3       42       192.1       193.1       13.3       13.5       84.4       0.22       65.7       -1.3       NaN       2809.3       70.3       1022.6       68.8       14.3         43       d0711Cab12       C2bis       D2       108.0       109.4       125.2       12.5       108.1       3.3       1.6       87.4       0.22       63.7       -1.3       NaN       2809.3       70.3       1022.6       60.8       14.3         43       d0711Cab12       C2bis       D2       10.4       0.75.8       2.6       12.6       15.6       97.4       2.1       1.6       07.5       0.22       30.9       0.7       3.1       1022.5       59.7       1.3       10.4       0.071       1.4       1.07       1.05       0.4       1.022.5       59.7       1.3       1.022.5       59.7       1.3       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.03       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.03       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02       1.02	41	d05f9Cab12 C2bis M160 E35	4/5/17 12:26	226	Cabrinha2	12	50	STD	NO	190.0	7.2	20.7	5.1	181.4	190.7	4.2	2.8	1082.3	0.25	855.0	1.6	35.8	-2.6	NaN	4317.2	90.2	1030.2	65.7	13.3
43       d07f11Cab12       C2bis       D2       100       12       50       RK       NO       179.9       45       254.2       2.5       59.7       74       2.1       1.6       37.6       0.22       31.0       0.7       32.4       0.6       NN       791.1       31.3       1022.5       58.5       14.1         44       d07f12Cab12       C2bis       D2       100       4/12/171342       219       Cabrinha2       12       50       RK       NO       170.9       47.2       256.8       2.6       126.5       135.9       2.4       .6       61.8       0.23       36.5       -88       32.8       2.9       NAN       956.0       51.1       1002.6       59.7       13.8         45       d07f13Cab12       C2bis       D2       MOT STAT       60       4/12/171410       299       Cabrinha2       12       50       RK       YE       56.4       82.6       128       178.6       0.27       1.0       0.78.1       1.0       0.78.3       1.0       1.002.6       NAN       170.5       158.1       1022.2       60.9       1.35.7       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0	42	d05f10Cab12_C2bis_180_E35_L80	4/5/17 13:37	299	Cabrinha2	12	80	STD	NO	172.3	5.6	10.3	4.2	192.1	198.1	3.3	1.5	843.4	0.22	657.8	-0.6	37.5	-1.3	NaN	2809.3	70.3	1029.6	60.8	14.3
44       00712Cab12       C2bis       D2       120       4/12/17       13/2       129       Cabrinhaz       12       50       RCK       NO       120.9       4/2       120.9       12       120.9       12/2       120.9       12/2       120.9       12/2       120.9       12/2       120.9       12/2       120.9       12/2 <td>43</td> <td>d07f11Cab12_C2bis_D2_180</td> <td>4/12/17 13:35</td> <td>303</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>BCK</td> <td>NO</td> <td>179.9</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>254.2</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>65.9</td> <td>74.3</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>375.6</td> <td>0.22</td> <td>310.9</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>32.4</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>791.1</td> <td>31.3</td> <td>1022.5</td> <td>58.5</td> <td>14.1</td>	43	d07f11Cab12_C2bis_D2_180	4/12/17 13:35	303	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	179.9	4.5	254.2	2.5	65.9	74.3	2.1	1.6	375.6	0.22	310.9	0.7	32.4	0.6	NaN	791.1	31.3	1022.5	58.5	14.1
45       GOY13C612       C2bis D2       MOT STAT_60       4/12/1713:55       304       Call cold       YES       66.4       48       264.1       1.8       197.7       2.6       0.3       16.7.1       0.20       78.1       -50.5       2.4       2.0       NN       21.63       103.3       102.4       60.9       13.5         60/0714Cab12       C2bis D2       MOT STAT_60       4/12/1714:02       298       Calmina       12       50 BCK       YES       30.9       51.6       30.73.2       60.9       13.5       30.72       60.3       16.7       0.5       12.4       20.0       78.1       60.9       10.6       51.8       102.2       60.1       30.0       12.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       60.1       30.0       10.4       10.2       60.1       13.5       30.2       12.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       60.1       13.5       30.2       10.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       60.1       13.5       10.2       60.7       10.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       10.4       10.2       10.4	44	d07f12Cab12_C2bis_D2_120	4/12/17 13:42	219	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	120.9	4.7	256.8	2.6	126.5	135.9	2.1	-0.5	614.8	0.23	365.8	-38.8	32.8	2.9	NaN	956.0	51.2	1022.5	59.7	13.8
46       00714Cab12 (2bis D2 MOT STAT_M60       4/12/17 14:0       299 (abrina2 12 50   CK VFS 302,9 5.4   250,9   5.4   250,2   5.4   250,0   5.4	45	d07f13Cab12_C2bis_D2_MOT_STAT_60	4/12/17 13:55	304	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	YES	66.4	4.8	264.1	2.8	197.8	197.7	2.6	-0.3	167.1	0.20	78.1	-59.5	22.4	2.0	NaN	216.3	13.9	1022.4	60.9	13.5
47/07/15Cab12_C2bis_D2_STAT_60       4/12/17 14:0       298 (abrinhaz       12       50 (aCK_NO       65.2       5.1       266.7       1.0       193.7       201.6       1.7       0.5       124.7       0.4       102.2       61.2       13.6         48       d07/15Cab12_C2bis_STAT_M60       4/12/17 14:7       310       (abrinhaz       12       50 (aCK_NO       30.7       4.9       253.9       1.0       31.9       310.2       13.6       -2.6       Nas.       62.0       12.6       102.4       10.2       10.6       102.2       13.6       -2.6       Nas.       62.0       12.6       102.0       10.6       102.2       13.6       -2.6       Nas.       62.0       12.6       102.4       10.2       10.2       13.6       -2.6       Nas.       62.0       12.6       102.0       10.6       102.2       13.6       -2.6       Nas.       56.8       5.5       3.9       Nas.       102.2       61.0       13.7       10.2       10.2       13.6       -2.6       Nas.       13.6       -2.6       Nas.       14.6       Nas.       14.7       10.2       10.2       10.2       13.6       -2.6       Nas.       14.6       Nas.       10.2.1       10.0       10.2.	46	d07f14Cab12_C2bis_D2_MOT_STAT_M60	4/12/17 14:02	299	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	YES	302.9	5.4	250.3	2.9	316.5	307.5	2.6	3.2	189.6	0.19	60.6	70.1	20.0	6.5	NaN	176.5	15.8	1022.2	60.8	13.5
48/d0716Cab12_C2bis_STAT_M60       4/12/17.14:17       100 (2abrinhaz       12       50 (2K_NO       302.71       4.9       253.91       10.19       319.9       310.21       19       2.3       153       0.22       64.7       62.1       18.6       -2.6       NAN       62.0       12.6       102.6       10.2       10.6       13.5       310.2       19.2       310.2       1.9       2.3       153       0.22       64.7       62.1       18.6       12.6       102.6       10.2       10.6       12.4       12.4       10.6       10.24       10.8       12.4       12.4       10.6       0.24       38.5       35.3       35.3       35.3       35.3       35.3       35.3       35.3       35.4       3	47	d07f15Cab12_C2bis_D2_STAT_60	4/12/17 14:10	298	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	65.2	5.1	266.7	1.0	193.7	201.6	1.7	0.5	124.7	0.22	73.4	-51.0	20.4	-1.6	NaN	75.1	10.4	1022.2	61.2	13.6
4yguv11r(xabiz_LCbis_D2_L00       4/12/17 14:27       301 (Zabrinhaz       12       50 (BCK       NO       122.1       9       158.4       12.1       2.9       105.6       0.24       38.5       3.5<	48	d07f16Cab12_C2bis_STAT_M60	4/12/17 14:17	310	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	303.7	4.9	253.9	1.0	319.9	310.2	1.9	2.3	151.3	0.22	64.7	62.1	18.6	-2.6	NaN	62.0	12.6	1022.0	60.6	13.5
SUBURITIES         SUBURI         VIII         SUBURI         VIIII         SUBURI         VIIII         SUBURI         VIIII         SUBURI         VIIII         SUBURI         VIIIII         SUBURI         VIIIIII         SUBURI         VIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	49	d0/f1/Cab12_C2bis_D2_100	4/12/17 14:27	301	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	102.2	4.9	260.6	2.8	154.8	158.4	2.1	-2.9	1016.4	0.24	368.3	-58.6	35.5	3.9	NaN	1053.7	84.7	1021.9	60.0	13.7
S12       Control Contecontrol Contecontrol Control Control Control Control Co	50	00/118Cab12_C2bis_D2_M100	4/12/1/ 14:35	285	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NU	263.3	5.5	255.6	2.8	2.0	352.3	2.3	3.0	1036.6	0.24	322.2	61.0	39.5	-5.0	NaN	889.3	86.4	1021.7	60.7	13.6
32 UU/12/CdU12_C2UI3_UZ_TININUTIN_OU 4/12/1/ 14:40 234 CdUIIIIIId2 12 JUBCA INU 04:6 5.0 236.6 5.2 109.2 1/4.U 2./ -1.U 14U1.U 0.23 14:2 -65.8 25.8 3.2 INAN 1651./ 116./ 1021.5 61./ 15.5	51	d07f20Cab12_C2Dis_D2_M120	4/12/17 14:42	295	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	238.6	5.5	250.3	2.8	160.2	174.0	2.5	4.4	045.6	0.23	530.0	38.6	41.6	-3./	NaN	948.8	55.8	1021.6	61.5	13.5
521d07f21Cab12 C2bic D2 MANDVN M80 1 /1/12/17 14:55 3001Cabrinba2 1 21 5018CK NO 284 8 5 7 252 5 2 8 338 7 327 8 2 3 3 7 1043 8 0 24 3 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 1021 5 1 22 1 2 1 2 5	52	d07f21Cab12_C2bis_D2_WANDYN_M80	4/12/17 14:48	300	Cabrinha?	12	50	BCK	NO	284.0	5.0	252 5	28	338 7	327.0	2./	3 7	1043 9	0.25	34.2	66.4	25.0	-5 6	NaN	957.4	87.0	1021.5	61.7	13.5

#### Result Document Page 2/2

Y         Y	A	Average Result for All Runs of the Kiteboat, with Kite																											
S       S	br	lie Name	ate and Time UTC	uration (s)	ite Name	ite Size	ether Length	enterboard	Aotor	WA (°)	WS (m/s)	WD (°)	0G (m/s)	06 (° )	IDG (°)	itch (° )	oll (° )	k,A (N)	ack Tether Ratio	prop (N)	hi (°)	heta (°)	udder Angle (°)	ictuator Power W)	ropulsive Power W)	Ving Load (N/m²)	ressure (hPa)	н (%)	emperaure (°C)
55       55       575	2 54 d0	7f22Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_60	4/12/17 15:02	299	∠ Cahrinha2	12	50	BCK		⊢ 66.8	52	270.8	24	198.7	204.0	2.0	0.7	966.1	0.25	272.9	-70.3	25.4	32	NaN	657.7	80.5	1021 5	59.6	13.7
for (2)             (2)             (2)	55 d0	7f23Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_M60	4/12/17 15:02	302	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	305.4	5.2	260.2	2.2	323.2	314 7	19	0.2	1074.4	0.25	183.8	77.8	23.0	-7.4	NaN	401.4	89.5	1021.0	58.1	13.8
97       97       972	56 d0	7f24Cab12 C2bis D2 STAT 60	4/12/17 15:16	300	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	310.3	5.9	279.0	1.2	328.9	328.8	1.4	-3.1	225.2	0.21	89.6	63.7	26.3	-3.4	NaN	111.9	18.8	1021.3	56.5	13.9
Separaticable Joles D. 180       41/13/131340       Beg Cammba 1       25       50       KV 00       78.6       51.7       76.7       90.7       17.2       70.8       28.7       18.4       44.0       70.8       18.4       44.0       70.8       18.4       44.0       70.8       18.4       44.0       70.0       10.9	57 d0	7f25Cab12 C2bis D2 120	4/12/17 15:25	299	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	111.5	6.9	287.3	4.0	168.9	175.9	3.4	-0.2	1521.4	0.27	657.8	-50.2	36.9	1.6	NaN	2683.2	126.8	1021.3	59.8	13.6
sp:0er2celz       Colu, D.Z. MANOYN, M60       4112/11315.1       Sp:0er Mor       12       Sp:0er Mor       291       Sp:2er       11.6       2.8       Sp:2er       12       Sp:2er       Sp:2er       Sp:2er </td <td>58 d0</td> <td>8f1Cab12 C2bis D2 180</td> <td>4/13/17 13:40</td> <td>298</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>BCK</td> <td>NO</td> <td>178.6</td> <td>5.1</td> <td>268.7</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>76.7</td> <td>90.2</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>421.7</td> <td>0.23</td> <td>336.2</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>34.5</td> <td>-0.7</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>856.9</td> <td>35.1</td> <td>1019.9</td> <td>62.4</td> <td>12.0</td>	58 d0	8f1Cab12 C2bis D2 180	4/13/17 13:40	298	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	178.6	5.1	268.7	2.6	76.7	90.2	2.2	1.6	421.7	0.23	336.2	1.4	34.5	-0.7	NaN	856.9	35.1	1019.9	62.4	12.0
Include	59 d0	8f2Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_M60	4/13/17 13:51	302	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	299.1	5.7	253.7	2.0	332.9	314.6	2.3	5.8	592.9	0.24	172.6	70.6	22.8	-3.1	NaN	333.4	49.4	1019.7	62.7	11.7
E1       000402ab12_cbbs_02_MAMONY_70       4/13/714407       244       250       0005       22       151.4       151.3       153.2       162.7       250.3       467.2       273.4       MM       470.2       250.3       1005.8       63.1       1105.8       65.0       1105.8       65.0       1105.8       65.0       1105.8       65.0       1105.6       65.1       1105.6       65.1       1105.6<	60 d0	8f3Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_60	4/13/17 13:59	303	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	64.7	5.2	267.8	1.9	203.5	203.1	1.8	-2.4	839.7	0.25	226.0	-71.0	25.8	2.3	NaN	440.4	70.0	1019.9	63.3	11.8
bc       Constraint       215       Sol RX MON       Vi/17 14:20       Sol RX MON       Sol RX MO       Sol RX MO <t< td=""><td>61 d0</td><td>8f4Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_70</td><td>4/13/17 14:05</td><td>294</td><td>Cabrinha2</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>BCK</td><td>NO</td><td>71.1</td><td>5.0</td><td>262.4</td><td>2.2</td><td>191.4</td><td>191.3</td><td>1.9</td><td>-3.1</td><td>963.7</td><td>0.25</td><td>302.1</td><td>-68.7</td><td>23.7</td><td>3.4</td><td>NaN</td><td>667.2</td><td>80.3</td><td>1019.8</td><td>63.8</td><td>11.8</td></t<>	61 d0	8f4Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_70	4/13/17 14:05	294	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	71.1	5.0	262.4	2.2	191.4	191.3	1.9	-3.1	963.7	0.25	302.1	-68.7	23.7	3.4	NaN	667.2	80.3	1019.8	63.8	11.8
61       036       036       036       036       036       036       036       036       036       036       036       036       036       035       03	62 d0	8f5Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_M70	4/13/17 14:12	295	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	291.8	5.7	248.1	2.2	332.8	316.3	2.4	6.6	705.0	0.24	221.0	69.1	23.1	-3.2	NaN	470.2	58.7	1019.6	63.7	11.8
64       64       67       64       65       14       332       335       23       24       47       47       110       756       110       756       110       756       110       756       110       756       110       756       110       756       110       756       110       756       120       120       131       110       756       110       110       156       110       110       156       110       110       156       110       110       156       110       110       156       110	63 d0	8f6Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_65	4/13/17 14:20	284	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	66.0	5.3	266.4	2.0	197.2	200.4	1.9	-1.4	730.3	0.25	243.5	-67.4	25.5	1.9	NaN	503.5	60.9	1019.6	63.2	11.8
65       0688       12       10       10       13       10       13       10       13       10       36       11       NN       NN       10       36       11       NN       10       16       10       13       10       36       11       10       36       12       10       16       10       13       10       16       10       13       10       16       10       13       10       16       10       13       20       10       10       13       20       10       10       13       20       10       <	64 d0	8f7Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_M55_court	4/13/17 14:27	169	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	305.4	5.6	258.9	1.4	332.5	313.5	2.2	4.2	474.3	0.25	111.9	75.6	21.6	-1.6	NaN	162.2	39.5	1019.5	63.1	11.7
666       0089       0.01       0.11       0.11       18       38.9       0.22       38.6       0.03       3.1.4       NM       80.66       33.2       10.9.1       62.3       12.2       10.9.1       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       10.9.1       12.3       10.9.1       10.9	65 d0	8f8Cab12_C2bis_D2_180	4/13/17 15:01	297	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	177.5	4.9	272.9	2.6	89.3	95.4	2.0	1.6	404.1	0.21	313.2	-1.0	36.3	-1.1	NaN	812.1	33.7	1019.3	63.4	12.3
67       06110Cabl2 C2bb 02 140       4113/171542       208       CA       100       120       23       16       443       0.22       344       45.9       0.0       184       6.22       344       4.5       340       1.1       185       184       12.0       185       12.0       183       141.1       12.0       185       184       12.0       184       12.0       184       12.0       184       12.0       184       12.0       185       184       12.0       185       184       12.0       184       12.0       184       12.0       185       184       12.0       18.0       18.0       18.0       18.0       18.0       18.0       18.0       18.0       18.0       18.0       18.0 </td <td>66 d0</td> <td>8f9Cab12_C2bis_D2_DL_180</td> <td>4/13/17 15:17</td> <td>296</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>BCK</td> <td>NO</td> <td>175.8</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>266.8</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>79.9</td> <td>91.0</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>398.5</td> <td>0.22</td> <td>326.0</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>30.7</td> <td>-1.4</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>806.8</td> <td>33.2</td> <td>1019.1</td> <td>62.3</td> <td>12.4</td>	66 d0	8f9Cab12_C2bis_D2_DL_180	4/13/17 15:17	296	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	175.8	4.6	266.8	2.5	79.9	91.0	2.1	1.8	398.5	0.22	326.0	0.8	30.7	-1.4	NaN	806.8	33.2	1019.1	62.3	12.4
68       defi1(ab12       Cabs       Cabs       0.1       4/13/17       15.0       DEX       No       12.5       0.0       0.0       12.5 <td< td=""><td>67 d0</td><td>8f10Cab12_C2bis_D2_160</td><td>4/13/17 15:42</td><td>308</td><td>Cabrinha2</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>BCK</td><td>NO</td><td>160.0</td><td>5.3</td><td>280.4</td><td>2.6</td><td>111.2</td><td>120.4</td><td>2.3</td><td>1.6</td><td>434.6</td><td>0.22</td><td>341.4</td><td>-6.9</td><td>34.0</td><td>-1.1</td><td>NaN</td><td>895.1</td><td>36.2</td><td>1018.9</td><td>62.8</td><td>12.2</td></td<>	67 d0	8f10Cab12_C2bis_D2_160	4/13/17 15:42	308	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	160.0	5.3	280.4	2.6	111.2	120.4	2.3	1.6	434.6	0.22	341.4	-6.9	34.0	-1.1	NaN	895.1	36.2	1018.9	62.8	12.2
69) d08172.612 (2):26, 02, M400       4/13/17.165.7 §26 (2):61.71       21       50       68       63.5       21.1       29.80       3.26       86.3       3.81       X       70.0       33.9       10.16       64.5       10.2         71 d08173.6312 (2):26.0       20.3       30.31       20.5       30.31       42.5       48.7       10.2       21.1       33.82       22.0       33.93       10.88       64.5       10.2         71 d08173.6312 (2):26.0       X       4/18/17.1351.138.3       307 (2):07.138.3       307 (2):07.138.3       30.2       X       10.2       23.0       23.0       21.0       33.0       42.0       18.1       19.7       13.4       40.5       55.8       10.0       24.1       18.1       19.7       13.4       40.5       55.8       10.0       24.1       18.1       19.7       13.4       40.7       10.7       55.8       10.0       25.2       14.1       19.7       13.4       40.7       10.2       12.0       10.2       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0 <td< td=""><td>68 d0</td><td>8f11Cab12_C2bis_D2_140</td><td>4/13/17 15:47</td><td>297</td><td>Cabrinha2</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>BCK</td><td>NO</td><td>140.7</td><td>5.1</td><td>281.8</td><td>2.4</td><td>132.8</td><td>141.1</td><td>2.2</td><td>0.7</td><td>489.8</td><td>0.22</td><td>333.2</td><td>-24.6</td><td>35.4</td><td>0.0</td><td>NaN</td><td>809.3</td><td>40.8</td><td>1018.9</td><td>63.1</td><td>12.1</td></td<>	68 d0	8f11Cab12_C2bis_D2_140	4/13/17 15:47	297	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	140.7	5.1	281.8	2.4	132.8	141.1	2.2	0.7	489.8	0.22	333.2	-24.6	35.4	0.0	NaN	809.3	40.8	1018.9	63.1	12.1
7000813Cab12 (20is 02, MADON, M90       413/17 16:14       277 (Darrhaz       12       5005K N0       281, 52, 4       937       1002       21       19       382, 10       23       309.1       103       31, 4       -26       NAMON, M90       413/17 16:14       250 EX N0       282, 52       21       634, 42       25       264, 20       21       635, 44       27       20       50, 50       20       52, 52       111       30       41, 41, 41       113       113       113       114 <td>69 d0</td> <td>8f12Cab12_C2bis_D2_M140</td> <td>4/13/17 16:05</td> <td>296</td> <td>Cabrinha2</td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>BCK</td> <td>NO</td> <td>224.4</td> <td>4.8</td> <td>287.9</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>66.8</td> <td>63.5</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>478.7</td> <td>0.22</td> <td>288.0</td> <td>32.6</td> <td>36.9</td> <td>-3.8</td> <td>NaN</td> <td>720.9</td> <td>39.9</td> <td>1018.6</td> <td>64.5</td> <td>12.0</td>	69 d0	8f12Cab12_C2bis_D2_M140	4/13/17 16:05	296	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	224.4	4.8	287.9	2.5	66.8	63.5	2.1	2.9	478.7	0.22	288.0	32.6	36.9	-3.8	NaN	720.9	39.9	1018.6	64.5	12.0
171       00814Cab12       20b       00814Cab12       20b       00814Cab12       20b       0847       22       23       642       0.22       21.83       663       192       -60       NaN       455.5       52.8       101.84       64.8       132       152	70 d0	8f13Cab12_C2bis_D2_M160	4/13/17 16:14	277	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	191.3	4.3	291.5	2.4	93.7	100.2	2.1	1.9	383.2	0.23	309.1	10.3	31.4	-2.6	NaN	747.2	31.9	1018.6	64.0	12.2
12       0995cab12       Class       12       50       62       12       63       0.27       52.0       1.2       5.2       1.2       1.7       1.9       <	71 d0	8t14Cab12_C2bis_D2_MANDYN_M90	4/13/17 16:23	205	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	289.2	5.0	273.9	2.1	352.9	344.7	2.2	2.3	634.2	0.23	218.3	66.3	19.2	-6.0	NaN	456.5	52.8	1018.4	64.8	11.9
173009FGab12_L05       MAUVW M95       V00/F       4/18/171530       25       12       50       0.2       28.7       55       10.2       28.7       13       14       11       11.2       14       12       15       10.2       28.7       13       12       15       10.2       28.7       14       10.2       28.8       18       11.2       13       14       11.3       14       11.3       14       11.3       14       10.2       18.8       10.2       28.2       14       12       1	72 d0	9f5Cab12_C1bis_180	4/18/17 13:43	307	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	178.4	5.0	56.9	3.3	235.4	238.5	2.6	2.1	635.4	0.27	520.1	2.5	32.7	-1.7	NaN	1750.9	53.0	1027.5	50.3	14.4
AP 00074.0012       L10       H10	73 d0	9f6Cab12_C1bis_MANDYN_M90_COURT	4/18/1/13:51	143	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	268.7	3.5	100.3	2.4	181.1	191.7	2.3	4.0	765.4	0.25	281.9	58.0	39.0	-6.2	NaN	705.5	63.8	1027.6	52.5	14.1
12       12       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       14       13       14       14       13       14 <td< td=""><td>74 00</td><td>9T/Cab12_C1bis_M120_FAIL</td><td>4/18/17 15:00</td><td>252</td><td>Cabrinna2</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>BCK</td><td>NU</td><td>243.4</td><td>6.5</td><td>55.5</td><td>2.4</td><td>1/6.8</td><td>1/1.8</td><td>1.9</td><td>-1.5</td><td>NaN</td><td>Nan</td><td>Nan</td><td>43.7</td><td>41.7</td><td>-9.5</td><td>NaN</td><td>NaN</td><td>Nan</td><td>1027.2</td><td>48.9</td><td>14.5</td></td<>	74 00	9T/Cab12_C1bis_M120_FAIL	4/18/17 15:00	252	Cabrinna2	12	50	BCK	NU	243.4	6.5	55.5	2.4	1/6.8	1/1.8	1.9	-1.5	NaN	Nan	Nan	43.7	41.7	-9.5	NaN	NaN	Nan	1027.2	48.9	14.5
10       1000000000000000000000000000000000000	75 00	918Cab12_C1bis_M140	4/18/17 15:04	303	Cabrinha2	12	50	BCK	NO	210.4	5.8	62.1	3.2	203.9	211./	3.0	4.4	NaN	NaN	NaN	24.4	37.0	-6.5	NaN	NaN	NaN	1027.2	52.2	14.3
1/101205_C2bis D2_140       4/19/1112:5       230 BTS       5 0 BCK NO       160 BS       252 PS       120 PS <td>70 00</td> <td>0f2Rtc C2bic D2 180</td> <td>4/10/17 13:11</td> <td>294</td> <td></td> <td>12</td> <td>50</td> <td>BCK</td> <td>NO</td> <td>142.9</td> <td>6.1</td> <td>54.2</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>273.4</td> <td>2/1.4</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>202.7</td> <td></td> <td>262.4</td> <td>-22.2</td> <td>34.0</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>160 0</td> <td>626.0</td> <td>EQ 7</td> <td>1027.5</td> <td>31.5</td> <td>14.2</td>	70 00	0f2Rtc C2bic D2 180	4/10/17 13:11	294		12	50	BCK	NO	142.9	6.1	54.2	3.2	273.4	2/1.4	2.4	1.5	202.7		262.4	-22.2	34.0	1.0	160 0	626.0	EQ 7	1027.5	31.5	14.2
79       1011305       22       202       202       203       213       203       213       203       213       203 <td< td=""><td>72 d1</td><td>0f2Bts_C2bis_D2_180</td><td>4/19/17 12:40</td><td>202</td><td>DTC</td><td>5</td><td>50</td><td>DCK</td><td>NO</td><td>144.6</td><td>6.0</td><td>77.0</td><td>2.4</td><td>201.4</td><td>202.4</td><td>1.5</td><td>0.4</td><td>253.7</td><td>0.35</td><td>202.4</td><td>19.0</td><td>20.9</td><td>1.0</td><td>168.0</td><td>602.9</td><td>70 5</td><td>1032.3</td><td>40.4</td><td>12.0</td></td<>	72 d1	0f2Bts_C2bis_D2_180	4/19/17 12:40	202	DTC	5	50	DCK	NO	144.6	6.0	77.0	2.4	201.4	202.4	1.5	0.4	253.7	0.35	202.4	19.0	20.9	1.0	168.0	602.9	70 5	1032.3	40.4	12.0
100       101       101       101       101       100       1	79 d1	OFARts C2bis MANSTAT 80	4/19/17 12:57	295	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	84.2	6.9	69.1	1.8	340.3	345.0	1.5	-0.2	420.0	0.35	165.1	-60.6	30.0	2.9	215.7	293.6	84.0	1031.8	45.0	12.0
Bit of the bits of the	80 d1	0f5Bts C2bis D2 MANSTAT M80	4/19/17 14:23	350	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	282.3	49	77.6	0.8	162.0	155.4	1.7	2.8	222.8	0.40	46.2	75.1	28.4	-5.6	302.4	38.5	44.6	1031.8	43.7	12.0
82       d1077Bts       C2bis       D2       100       4/19/17       14:46       350       BTS       5       50       RCK       NO       103.0       6.4       69.7       19       321.9       326.7       17.7       1.6       697.4       0.40       194.7       59.3       37.4       38       215.9       37.8       210.5       27.8       101.2       43.13       50       103.0       6.4       69.7       19.3       21.9       37.4       38       215.9       37.8       21.9       101.2       43.13.4       13.3       101.2       43.13.4       13.4       13.3       101.2       43.13.4       13.4       13.3       101.2       43.13.4       11.6       11.7       16.4       12.0       14.7       14.0       13.3       101.2       44.3       13.4         86       1010108ts       C2bis       D2       100       4/19/1715.2       321.0       17.7       16.6       57.7       14.1       16.0       13.0       13.1       44.1       13.1       44.4       13.1       44.4       13.1       13.4       13.1       14.3       13.4       14.1       13.1       44.1       13.1       44.4       13.1       44.1       13.1	81 d1	Of6Bts C2bis D2 120	4/19/17 14:32	341	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	124.2	5.8	70.5	2.1	305.0	306.3	1.8	-0.4	413.4	0.40	216.6	-41.4	35.8	2.2	191.5	468.0	82.7	1031.8	46.3	12.9
83       d10f8Bts_C2bis_D2_M140       4/19/17 15:20       350       BTS       5       50       BCK       NO       219.8       7.2       7.4.2       2.8       20.00       21.4.4       2.4       3.2       51.4.3       0.38       36.5.5       2.3.4       31.1       -5.5       33.9       1019.5       102.9       103.1       43.6       13.3         84       d10f9Bts_C2bis_D2_M00       4/19/17 15:20       307       BTS       5       50 BCK       NO       84.9       6.2       64.2       1.9       33.0       38       95.8       30.1       1.6.8       195.1       1.4.0       1.3.6       407.6       106.3       106.3       106.4       107.6       1.8       0.3       108.1       106.4       2.0       1.6.8       195.1       1.4.0       1.3.6       407.4       50.8       103.1       44.1       1.1.4       1.3.1       44.1       1.3.2       114.4       1.3.6       407.4       1.3.6       407.4       50.8       103.1       44.1       51.2       103.1       48.1       1.2.2       1.3.6       407.4       50.8       103.1       44.1       51.2       103.4       43.1       52.1       103.1       44.1       51.2       103.4       43.6<	82 d1	0f7Bts C2bis D2 100	4/19/17 14:46	350	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	103.0	6.4	69.7	1.9	321.9	326.7	1.7	-1.6	597.4	0.40	194.7	-59.3	37.4	3.8	215.9	378.2	119.5	1031.6	46.4	13.0
84       d1009Bs; C2bis D2       MANDYN 80       4/19/17 15:32       307 BTS       5       50 BCK       NO       84.9       62.7       64.2       19.37.0       339.2       18.03       477.0       0.45       197.7       61.1       24.0       33.3       29.3       85.0       20.3       177.0       61.1       24.0       13.3       13.4         85       d1010Bts; C2bis D2       160       4/19/17 15:52       312       18.5       50 BCK       NO       159.2       55.0       50.2       10.1       26.3       26.1       21.3       23.0       48.1       10.4       13.4       44.1       13.4         86       d1011Bts; C2bis D2       180       4/20/1712:00       296 BTS       5       50 BCK       NO<179.9	83 d1	0f8Bts C2bis D2 M140	4/19/17 15:20	350	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	219.8	7.2	74.2	2.8	209.0	214.4	2.4	3.2	514.3	0.38	365.5	23.4	31.1	-5.5	133.9	1019.5	102.9	1031.4	43.6	13.3
88       diofiles       Cable       O2       100       4/19/17       15-46       295       BTS       5       50       RX       NO       1263       60       79.8       18       1661       176.4       22       51       53.13       0.38       195.3       58.7       20.1       68.1       103.1       14.1       13.4         86       diof118ts       C2bis       D.180       4/20/17       12:00       296       BTS       5       505       C0       5.0       C2       163.6       263.6       263.1       20.1       18       25.3       0.41       200.2       12.0       0.37       178.5       2.6       20.0       1.8       24.1       142.2       24.5       4.4       1.4       1.2       1.03.4       4.1       1.1       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.1       1.02.4       1.03.4       1.03.6       0.07.4       1.08.4       0.03.7       1.04.4       1.03.4       1.04.1       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.04.4       1.05.7       1.03.1	84 d1	0f9Bts C2bis D2 MANDYN 80	4/19/17 15:32	307	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	84.9	6.2	64.2	1.9	337.0	339.2	1.8	-0.3	477.0	0.45	197.7	-61.1	24.0	3.3	369.9	366.6	95.4	1031.2	44.3	13.4
86       d10f11Bts       C2bis       D2       160       A179/17       15:S2       312       PST       5       50       RX       NO       15:92       5.5       50       20.1       263.6       20.1       20.2       4.2       23.6       1.6       13.3.6       407.4       50.8       103.1       44.0       13.5         87       d11fBts       C2bis       D2       L10       4/20/17       12:0       296       BTS       5       50       BCK<	85 d1	0f10Bts_C2bis_D2_100	4/19/17 15:46	295	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	263.4	6.0	79.8	1.8	166.1	176.4	2.2	5.1	531.3	0.38	195.3	58.7	30.1	-6.8	195.1	347.6	106.3	1031.1	44.1	13.4
87       01111Bts       C2bis       D 2       180       4/20/17       12:00       296       BTS       5       50 BCK       NO       179:0       6.2       64.4       11       22       22.19       0.37       178.5       2.6       20       1.5       17.10       367:2       44.4       103.4       41.6       10.8         88       d112Bts       C2bis       D 2       D130       4/20/17       13:00       293       BTS       5       50 BCK       NO       178:9       6.2       56.5       2.2       23:1       23:6       0.37       20:4       0.31       0.4       64.4       0.4       10.4       11.2       10.34       11.1       10.3       11.9       10.3       12.0       13.0       20       13.0       23.0       23.0       13.0       10.0       44.5       0.40       12.5       11.0       41.3       84.9       10.3.7       40.0       11.9       11.9       12.0       14.4       36.5       0.39       12.7       64.0       13.5       84.0       10.3.7       40.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       13.0       84.0       13.0       14.0       14.0 <td< td=""><td>86 d1</td><td>0f11Bts_C2bis_D2_160</td><td>4/19/17 15:52</td><td>312</td><td>BTS</td><td>5</td><td>50</td><td>BCK</td><td>NO</td><td>159.2</td><td>5.5</td><td>60.5</td><td>2.0</td><td>263.6</td><td>261.3</td><td>2.0</td><td>1.8</td><td>253.9</td><td>0.41</td><td>200.2</td><td>-4.2</td><td>34.5</td><td>-1.6</td><td>133.6</td><td>407.4</td><td>50.8</td><td>1031.2</td><td>44.0</td><td>13.5</td></td<>	86 d1	0f11Bts_C2bis_D2_160	4/19/17 15:52	312	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	159.2	5.5	60.5	2.0	263.6	261.3	2.0	1.8	253.9	0.41	200.2	-4.2	34.5	-1.6	133.6	407.4	50.8	1031.2	44.0	13.5
88       d1112Bts       C2bis       D2       D1       A00/17 12:30       296       BTS       5       50 BCK       NO       178:9       62       565       2.2       233.4       237.6       19       2.2       256.0       0.37       20.4       0.1       33.1       1-08       181.8       441.1       51.2       103.7       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3       120.2       120.3<	87 d1	1f1Bts_C2bis_D2_180	4/20/17 12:00	296	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	179.0	6.2	64.4	2.1	242.5	245.4	1.8	2.2	221.9	0.37	178.5	2.6	32.0	-1.5	171.0	367.2	44.4	1034.3	41.6	10.8
88       01113Bts       C2bis       D2       M160       4/20/1713:30       293       BTS       5       50 BCK       NO       198.9       6.8       65.2       2.5       22.1       12.26.3       2.0       2.6       3.6       9.31       12.9.9       64.4       67.4       103.8       40.6       11.8         90       01114Bts       C2bis       D2       010       4/20/1713:33       299       BTS       5       50 BCK       NO       20.6       3.6       9.02       12.0       7.32       16.0       0.44       5.5       21.1       0.0       31.6       1.0	88 d1	1f2Bts_C2bis_D2_DL_180	4/20/17 12:30	296	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	178.9	6.2	56.5	2.2	233.4	237.6	1.9	2.2	256.0	0.37	204.9	0.1	33.1	-0.8	181.8	441.1	51.2	1034.2	41.1	11.2
99       011148ts_C2bis_D2_100       4/20/1713:24       302 BTS       5       50 BCK       NO       106:1       6.3       600       20.0       320.7       322.9       1.8       0.0       442.5       0.40       215.7       -92.2       33.8       2.9       19.0       413.9       88.9       103.7       400       11.9         91       011585       C2bis_D2_M140       4/20/1713:38       290 BTS       5       50 BCK       NO       26.0       31.2       21.4       49.65       0.39       21.2       64.0       35.5       51.0       11.0       91.9       411.9       85.0       31.0       11.03<	89 d1	1f3Bts_C2bis_D2_M160	4/20/17 13:09	293	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	198.9	6.8	65.2	2.5	221.1	226.3	2.0	2.6	336.9	0.38	256.7	3.4	36.9	-3.3	129.9	644.9	67.4	1033.8	40.6	11.8
91       011115Bts       C2bis       D2       M100       4/20/17 13:33       299       BTS       5       50       BCK       NO       22:0       5.0       81.9       1.6       17.9       92.1       1.4       496.5       0.33       12.2       64.0       33.5       -5.3       21.1.0       199.6       73.3       103.6       41.7       11.9         92       01116Bts       C2bis       D2       100       4/20/17 14:22       295       BTS       5       80 BCK       NO       176.1       5.9       63.1       2.7       2.3       24.0       2.0       2.3       24.0       2.0       2.3       24.7       2.0       2.3       24.7       2.0       2.3       24.7       0.4       23.6       25.7       1.1       176.1       1303.2       44.8       12.7         94       11178ts       C2bis       D2       10.0       4/20/1714:40       298       BTS       5       80       CK       NO       18.4       18.0       18.7       18.0       18.7       18.0       18.7       18.0       18.7       18.0       18.7       18.0       18.7       18.0       18.7       18.0       18.7       18.0       18.7       18	90 d1	1f4Bts_C2bis_D2_100	4/20/17 13:24	302	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	106.1	6.3	69.0	2.0	320.7	322.9	1.8	0.0	444.5	0.40	215.7	-49.2	33.8	2.9	191.0	431.9	88.9	1033.7	40.0	11.9
92       01116Bts_C2bis_D2_M140       4/20/17 13:38       301 BTS       55       50 BCK       NO       17.7       6.7       72.3       2.6       2.1       62       3.8       40.0       50.9       10.33       51.9       10.1       10.33       51.9       10.33       51.9       77.6       72.7       2.7.7       2	91 d1	1f5Bts_C2bis_D2_M100	4/20/17 13:33	299	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	262.0	5.0	81.9	1.6	171.9	179.9	2.1	4.4	396.5	0.39	122.3	64.0	33.5	-5.3	211.0	199.6	79.3	1033.6	41.7	11.9
93       011178bs       C2bis       D2       100       102       125       58       08       CK       NO       175:1       59       63.1       25       243.2       247.0       2.0       2.3       322.0       0.40       266.7       -1.2       327.1       11       175:1       659.5       64.4       1032.2       44.2       1032.9       48.1       127.1       1032.9       12.5       243.2       247.0       2.0       2.3       322.0       0.40       266.7       -1.2       327.7       1.1       175:1       659.5       64.4       1032.9       48.8       16.1       18.1       120.2       137.1       17       1.5       640.9       1.43       123.0       16.1       18.1       17.1       15       645.9       0.43       233.8       64.7       1032.9       40.3       12.0       34.6       17.1       1.5       646.9       0.43       237.8       64.7       23.1       15.1       15.2       17.1       17.1       15.5       64.9       0.43       237.8       64.7       23.1       16.1       18.0       17.1       15.5       64.9       0.43       237.8       64.7       12.0       34.8       17.1       15.5       64.9 </td <td>92 d1</td> <td>1f6Bts_C2bis_D2_M140</td> <td>4/20/17 13:38</td> <td>301</td> <td>BTS</td> <td>5</td> <td>50</td> <td>BCK</td> <td>NO</td> <td>217.7</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>72.3</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>206.2</td> <td>214.6</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>400.5</td> <td>0.39</td> <td>277.6</td> <td>23.7</td> <td>36.2</td> <td>-5.0</td> <td>159.6</td> <td>706.3</td> <td>80.1</td> <td>1033.5</td> <td>41.9</td> <td>12.0</td>	92 d1	1f6Bts_C2bis_D2_M140	4/20/17 13:38	301	BTS	5	50	BCK	NO	217.7	6.7	72.3	2.6	206.2	214.6	2.2	3.8	400.5	0.39	277.6	23.7	36.2	-5.0	159.6	706.3	80.1	1033.5	41.9	12.0
94       01118bs_C2bis_D2_L80_100       4/20/17 14:30       298 BTS       5       80 BCK       NO       105.0       6.8       69.0       2.4       320.2       324.0       1.9       1.5       78.4       0.42       330.1       -55.7       34.9       7.8       26.28       80.65       150.2       90.8       12.6         95       01119bs_C2bis_D2_L80_MANDYN_80       4/20/17 14:47       299 BTS       5       80 BCK       NO       84.8       61.6       18.02       137.7       1.5       64.6       9.4       12.6       137.1       25.7       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       14.0       12.8       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0       14.0	93 d1	1f7Bts_C2bis_D2_L80_180	4/20/17 14:22	295	BTS	5	80	BCK	NO	176.1	5.9	63.1	2.5	243.2	247.0	2.0	2.3	322.0	0.40	266.7	-1.2	32.7	1.1	176.1	659.5	64.4	1033.2	44.8	12.7
95       011198ts       C2bis       D2       L80       MANON       80       CK       NO       263:9       48.       91.6       18.       18.02       18.77       20.       38.       38.27.       0.41       142.0       61.8       29.6       11.8       77.15       664.0       14.8       20.6       18.       82.1       0.41       142.0       61.8       29.6       17.15       64.0       29.6       17.15       64.0       0.43       237.8       6-67.2       21.0       13.3       25.8       7.6.4       03.21       0.7       13.6       64.7       13.1       15.5       64.0       23.1       17.15       64.0       0.43       237.8       6-67.2       13.1       25.8       7.6.4       103.2       17.15       64.0       0.43       15.0       16.0       17.2       15.6       64.7       13.1       12.4       103.2       14.1       10.1       12.1       10.1       11	94 d1	1f8Bts_C2bis_D2_L80_100	4/20/17 14:30	298	BTS	5	80	BCK	NO	105.0	6.8	69.0	2.4	320.2	324.0	1.9	-1.5	784.7	0.42	330.1	-56.7	34.9	7.8	262.8	806.9	156.9	1032.9	40.8	12.6
9       0	95 d1	1f9Bts_C2bis_D2_L80_M100	4/20/17 14:40	301	BTS	5	80	BCK	NO	263.9	4.8	91.6	1.8	180.2	187.7	2.0	3.8	382.1	0.41	142.0	61.8	29.6	-1.8	313.3	258.8	76.4	1032.9	40.3	12.6
9/101111455         Cols U/L         LSU MANUYN b/U         4/20/17 15:3         300 [BT         5         80 [BCK NO         66.0         66.0         66.0         66.0         68.1         16.1         12.2         10.1         12.2         42.5         12.5         42.6         12.5         42.6         12.8         10.2         11.7         1.5         62.7         0.43         16.0         -7.2         0.43         16.0         -7.2         0.43         16.0         -7.2         0.43         16.0         -7.2         0.43         16.0         -7.2         0.43         16.0         17.2         16.0         17.6         18.1         16.0         18.0         17.6         18.0         17.6         18.0         18.0	96 d1	1t10Bts_C2bis_D2_L80_MANDYN_80	4/20/17 14:47	299	BTS	5	80	BCK	NO	84.8	6.1	79.1	2.0	348.6	354.3	1.7	-1.5	646.9	0.43	237.8	-64.7	23.1	6.5	187.0	492.2	129.4	1032.7	41.0	12.8
99         01111255         L200         MANUYN         Msu         4/20/17 15:08         29 bills         5         80 BCK         NO         303:9         6.3         76.9         10.1         10.2         10.3         11.3         10.0         111144cabl2         Cbis         D.2         10.4         10.5         10.4         10.3         11.3         10.0         111144cabl2         Cbis         D.2         10.6         12.5         8.0         10.0         11.4         10.1         10.2         81.0         10.0         10.1         10.3         10.0         10.1         10.3         10.1         10.0         10.1         10.3         10.3         10.0         10.1         10.3         10.3         10.0         10.1         10.3         10.3         10.0         10.1         10.3         10.3         10.0         10.1         10.3         10.3         10.0         10.1         10.3         10.3         10.1         10.3         10.3         10.1         10.3         10.2         10.4         10.3         10.1         10.0         10.3         10.3         10.1         10.3         10.3         10.3         10.3         10.3         10.3         10.3         10.3         10.3         <	97 d1	1111Bts_C2bis_D2_L80_MANDYN_60	4/20/17 14:53	300	BIS	5	80	BCK	NO	66.0	6.6	88.1	1.6	14.2	22.1	1.7	-1.5	627.2	0.43	161.0	-72.9	19.1	6.1	225.4	265.1	125.4	1032.6	41.8	12.8
99       01113455       LOID       042       052       153       042       156       041       155       042       156       041       155       042       156       042       156       042       156       052       152       129       103       133       102       112       103       133       133       102       103       113       104       113       104       113       104       113       104       113       104       113	98 d1	TTL2BTS_C2DIS_D2_L80_MANDYN_M80	4/20/1/15:08	296	BIS	5	80	BCK	NU	285.3	6.6	86.0	1.8	161.2	160.8	2.1	0.4	635.5	0.40	181.9	/0.8	22.0	-4.4	2/0.7	337.5	127.1	1032.5	43.0	12.9
LDU[L114]         LDU[L114] <thldu[l114]< th="">         LDU[L114]         <thldu[l114]< th="">         LDU[L114]         <thldu[l114]< th=""> <thldu[l114]< th=""> <thldu< td=""><td>99 d1</td><td>1113BLS_CZDIS_D2_L80_MANDYN_M60</td><td>4/20/17 15:16</td><td>298</td><td>BIS Cobrinder</td><td>5</td><td>80</td><td>BCK</td><td>NO</td><td>303.9</td><td>6.3</td><td>76.9</td><td>1.0</td><td>154.9</td><td>132.9</td><td>1.9</td><td>-0.3</td><td>510.5</td><td>0.42</td><td>80.4</td><td>79.5</td><td>18.1</td><td>0.6</td><td>225.8</td><td>/8.2</td><td>102.1</td><td>1032.4</td><td>43.1</td><td>13.0</td></thldu<></thldu[l114]<></thldu[l114]<></thldu[l114]<></thldu[l114]<>	99 d1	1113BLS_CZDIS_D2_L80_MANDYN_M60	4/20/17 15:16	298	BIS Cobrinder	5	80	BCK	NO	303.9	6.3	76.9	1.0	154.9	132.9	1.9	-0.3	510.5	0.42	80.4	79.5	18.1	0.6	225.8	/8.2	102.1	1032.4	43.1	13.0
102 d1116Cab12_C2bs_D2_80_MANDYN_140_RETOUR 4/20/17 16:11 174 Cabrinhaz 12 80 BCK NO 147.2 6.4 75.8 4.8 293.4 285 5.0 -2.7 1468.4 0.26 98.7 -40.2 23.0 6.3 48.4 593.4 285 5.0 -2.7 1468.4 0.26 98.7 -40.2 23.0 6.3 48.4 593.4 283.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 1	100 d1	1114Cau12_C2DIS_U2_L8U_WANSTAT_BU	4/20/17 15:54	298	Cabrinha2	12	80	BCK	NO	216 1	7.0	79.6	1.4	120.2	125.0	1.8	-0.4	315.9	0.22	107.7	-58.2	1/.1	1.9	110.9	219.8	20.3	1032.1	43.2	13.2
103(1117(2017) 200-02 L00 minoring requirements - 200 minoring requirements - 200 minoring - 200	101 01	1115Cab12_C20IS_D2_LOU_IVIAIVSTAT_IVIOU	4/20/17 16:01	174	Cabrinha?	12	80	BCK	NO	147.2	1.3	91.1 75.9	1.0	203 4	288 5	2.0	2.9	1/68 /	0.21	088 7	-40.2	22.0	-1.9	348.2	4690.0	122.4	1032.0	43.0	13.3
	102 d1	117Cab12 C2bis D2 180 MANDYN 100 RETOUR	4/20/17 16:17	256	Cabrinha?	12	80	BCK	NO	106.2	6.2	79.1	4.2	332.6	332.8	4.6	-1.3	1628.4	0.33	816.9	-52.3	22.0	3.5	403.8	3403.5	135.7	1032.0	42.4	13.4

## References

- 1. Loyd, M.L. Crosswind kite power (for large-scale wind power production). *J. Energy* **1980**, *4*, 106–111. [CrossRef]
- 2. Argatov, I.; Rautakorpi, P.; Silvennoinen, R. Estimation of the mechanical energy output of the kite wind generator. *Renew. Energy* **2009**, *34*, 1525–1532. [CrossRef]
- 3. Fagiano, L. Control of Tethered Airfoils for High-Altitude Wind Energy Generation. Ph.D. Thesis, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy, 2009.
- 4. Schmehl, R. Kiting for wind power. Wind Syst. 2012, 27, 36–43.
- 5. van der Vlugt, R.; Peschel, J.; Schmehl, R. Design and experimental characterization of a pumping kite power system. In *Airborne Wind Energy*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; pp. 403–425.
- 6. Erhard, M.; Strauch, H. Flight control of tethered kites in autonomous pumping cycles for airborne wind energy. *Control Eng. Pract.* 2015, *40*, 13–26. [CrossRef]
- 7. Erhard, M.; Strauch, H. Automatic Control of Pumping Cycles for the SkySails Prototype in Airborne Wind Energy. In *Airborne Wind Energy*; Springer: Singapore, 2018; pp. 189–213.
- 8. Oehler, J.; Schmehl, R. Aerodynamic characterization of a soft kite by in situ flow measurement. *Wind Energy Sci.* 2019, 4, 1–21. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wellicome, J.F.; Wilkinson, S. *Ship Propulsive Kites: An Initial Study;* Technical Report; Department of Ship Science, University of Southampton: Southampton, UK, 1984.
- 10. Wellicome, J. Some comments on the relative merits of various wind propulsion devices. *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.* **1985**, *20*, 111–142. [CrossRef]
- 11. Naaijen, P.; Koster, V.; Dallinga, R.P. On the power savings by an auxiliary kite propulsion system. *Int. Shipbuild. Prog.* **2006**, *53*, 255–279. [CrossRef]
- 12. Dadd, G.M. Kite Dynamics for Ship Propulsion. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, 2013.
- 13. Erhard, M.; Strauch, H. Control of towing kites for seagoing vessels. *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.* **2013**, 21, 1629–1640. [CrossRef]
- 14. Leloup, R.; Roncin, K.; Bles, G.; Leroux, J.B.; Jochum, C.; Parlier, Y. Kite and classical rig sailing performance comparison on a one design keel boat. *Ocean Eng.* **2014**, *90*, 39–48. [CrossRef]
- 15. Leloup, R.; Roncin, K.; Behrel, M.; Bles, G.; Leroux, J.B.; Jochum, C.; Parlier, Y. A continuous and analytical modelling for kites as auxiliary propulsion devoted to merchant ships, including fuel saving estimation. *Renew. Energy* **2016**, *86*, 483–496. [CrossRef]
- 16. Dadd, G.M.; Hudson, D.A.; Shenoi, R. Comparison of two kite force models with experiment. J. Aircr. 2010, 47, 212–224. [CrossRef]
- 17. van der Vlugt, R.; Bley, A.; Noom, M.; Schmehl, R. Quasi-steady model of a pumping kite power system. *Renew. Energy* **2019**, *131*, 83–99. [CrossRef]
- Schnackenburg, T.; Brabeck, S. Vessel propulsion using kites. In Proceedings of the 20th International HISWA Symposium on Yacht Design and Yacht Construction, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 17–18 November 2008; Rai Amsterdam: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008.
- 19. Fritz, F. Application of an automated kite system for ship propulsion and power generation. In *Airborne Wind Energy*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; pp. 359–372.
- 20. Paulig, X.; Bungart, M.; Specht, B. Conceptual design of textile kites considering overall system performance. In *Airborne Wind Energy*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; pp. 547–562.
- 21. Behrel, M.; Roncin, K.; Leroux, J.B.; Montel, F.; Hascoet, R.; Neme, A.; Jochum, C. Application of phase averaging method for measuring kite performance: Onshore results. *J. Sail. Technol.* **2018**, 1–29. [CrossRef]
- 22. Canale, M.; Fagiano, L.; Milanese, M.; Razza, V. Control of tethered airfoils for sustainable marine transportation. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Control Applications, Yokohama, Japan, 8–10 September 2010; IEEE: Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2010; pp. 1904–1909.
- Fagiano, L.; Milanese, M.; Razza, V.; Gerlero, I. Control of power kites for naval propulsion. In Proceedings of the 2010 American Control Conference, Baltimore, MD, USA, 30 June–2 July 2010; IEEE: Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2010; pp. 4325–4330.
- 24. Fagiano, L.; Milanese, M.; Razza, V. Optimization and control of a hybrid kite boat1. *IFAC Proc. Vol.* **2011**, 44, 14748–14753. [CrossRef]

- 25. Fagiano, L.; Milanese, M.; Razza, V.; Bonansone, M. High-altitude wind energy for sustainable marine transportation. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.* **2012**, *13*, 781–791. [CrossRef]
- 26. Bigi, N.; Behrel, M.; Roncin, K.; Leroux, J.B.; Neme, A.; Jochum, C.; Parlier, Y. Dynamic modeling of ships towed by kite. *La Houille Blanche* **2018**. [CrossRef]
- 27. Bigi, N.; Roncin, K.; Leroux, J.B.; Parlier, Y. Ship towed by kite: Investigation of the dynamic coupling. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2020**, *8*, 486. [CrossRef]
- 28. Pérez, T.; Fossen, T.I. Time-vs. frequency-domain Identification of parametric radiation force models for marine structures at zero speed. *Model. Identif. Control* **2008**, *29*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- 29. Salvesen, N.; Tuck, E.; Faltinsen, O. Ship motions and sea loads. Trans. SNAME 1970, 78, 250–287.
- 30. Irvine, M.; Longo, J.; Stern, F. Pitch and Heave Tests and Uncertainty Assessment for a Surface Combatant in Regular Head Waves. *J. Ship Res.* **2008**, *52*, 146–163.
- 31. The University of Iowa. EFD Data; The University of Iowa: Iowa City, IA, USA, 2013.
- 32. Behrel, M.; Bigi, N.; Roncin, K.; Grelon, D.; Montel, F.; Nême, A.; Leroux, J.B.; Jochum, C.; Parlier, Y. Measured Performance of a 50-m2 Kite on a Trawler. In Proceedings of the 10th Symposium on High-Performance Marine Vehicles (Hiper), Technische Universitat Hamburg Harburg, Cortona, Italy, 17–19 October 2016; pp. 443–457.
- Group, I.Q.S. *ITTC Symbols and Terminology List;* Technical Report; International Towing Tank Conference, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2014. Available online: <a href="https://ittc.info/media/4004/structured-list2014.pdf">https://ittc.info/media/4004/structured-list2014.pdf</a> (accessed on 2 September 2020).
- 34. ISO 1151-1-4. *Flight Dynamics—Concepts, Quantities and Symbols—Part 1: Aircraft Motion Relative to the Air;* Technical Report; ISO: Geneve, Switzerland, 1988.
- Roncin, K.; Kobus, J.M.; Iackine, P.; Barré, S. Méthodologie pour la validation du simulateur de voilier par des essais en mer, une première tentative. In Proceedings of the Workshop Science-Voile, Ecole Navale, Lanveoc-Poulmic, France, 3–4 May 2005; pp. 1–10.
- Mestayer, P.G.; Calmet, I.; Herlédant, O.; Barré, S.; Piquet, T.; Rosant, J. A Coastal Bay Summer Breeze Study, Part 1: Results of the Quiberon 2006 Experimental Campaign. *Bound.-Layer Meteorol.* 2018, 167, 1–26. [CrossRef]
- Fagiano, L.; Zgraggen, A.U.; Morari, M.; Khammash, M. Automatic crosswind flight of tethered wings for airborne wind energy: Modeling, control design and experimental results. *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.* 2014, 22, 1433–1447.
- 38. Messager, C. Rapport -2017-ENSTA-01; Technical Report; EXWEXs: Brest, France, 2017.
- Skamarock, W.; Klemp, J.; Dudhi, J.; Gill, D.; Barker, D.; Duda, M.; Huang, X.Y.; Wang, W.; Powers, J. *A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3*; Technical Report; National Center for Atmospheric Research: Boulder, CO, USA, 2008. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).