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Fast screening of the fatigue properties of thermoplastics reinforced with short carbon fibers based on thermal measurements

L. Leveuf, Y. Marco, V. Le Saux, L. Navrátil, S. Leclercq, J. Olhagaray

Abstract

The goal of this paper is to investigate the ability of the heat build-up technique to predict the fatigue lifetime of injected thermoplastic reinforced with short carbon fibers. Several commercial grades and filler ratios are considered here in order to challenge the ability of the technique to capture the influence of these variations. First, the materials are investigated and the mechanical and thermal protocols are described. The way to build the heat-build-up curves is outlined. Then the results obtained from the heat build-up and fatigue experiments are presented. The heat build-up curves are obtained both from measurements performed on the front surface of the sample and from the side surface. Finally, these results are used to discuss two main points. The first is the validity of the hypotheses needed to apply the approach, ranging from the evaluation of the dissipation to the fatigue response. The second is the capability to predict the fatigue curves accurately throughout an energetic criterion, and to identify the influence of the variations of matrix grade and fiber content on the fatigue properties. It appears that the predictions obtained match the results obtained from classic fatigue tests and validates the use of the technique for fast material screening.
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Introduction

Short-fiber-reinforced thermoplastics (SFRT) provide a major opportunity today to obtain lightweight parts at a reasonable cost and have been widely investigated [1–6]. Over the last few years, this kind of materials has been used for structural components in the automotive industry to replace die casting aluminum or stamped steel parts [7–11]. The possible use of these materials for aeronautic applications is also under consideration. The main reasons are the reasonable properties of these materials, especially when using a thermostable matrix, and the use of the injection molding process which allows
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both a cost reduction and the definition of complex parts with stiffeners and ribs, to circumvent the loss in rigidity compared to metallic solutions. Fatigue campaigns are usually very time consuming, especially for polymeric materials as the test frequency cannot be very high to avoid any bias brought about by the heat build-up induced under cyclic solicitations. As these materials also provide a high versatility in fiber content, grades and suppliers, a screening tool, able to discriminate good candidates for fatigue applications quickly, prior to a full fatigue campaign, would be a crucial asset. One of the experimental tools to do so could be based on the analysis of the heat build-up response. Since the pioneer studies [12, 13], this technique has become of considerable interest over the last few years, on metallic materials for empirical approaches [14–17] or throughout constitutive modeling [18–20]. This is explained mainly by the considerable development of thermography devices and analysis [16, 21, 22]. The extension of the technique to other materials has also been tested [17] and is now applied, following different approaches, to rubbers [23–25], continuous fibers composites [26, 27] and short fiber reinforced plastics [11, 28, 29]. All these approaches use the evolution of the sample temperature but can be quite different. Some of them rely on the temperature only, which is quite limited as temperature is dependent on the frequency, on the samples geometry and on the thermal boundary conditions. These analysis therefore give no access to an intrinsic parameter. Most of the protocols now seek the dissipated energy associated with the temperature variations. This evaluation is not trivial and requires solving the heat equation according to a wide range of hypotheses both on the temporal aspects (adiabatic or stabilized configurations) and on the spatial distribution of the dissipation sources. This evolution of the dissipated energy versus the amplitude of solicitation (strain or stress, depending on the materials tested) is then generated and is called the heat build-up curve. The following step is to relate it to the “fatigue properties”, which could cover a pretty wide range of ambitions. The most basic one is to evaluate an unlimited fatigue lifetime or at least a lifetime high enough compared to the range of cycles aimed at by the application. This analysis uses the change of shape of the heat build-up curve to identify this threshold. A more interesting objective is to predict the fatigue mean curve. This approach relies on the use of an energy based fatigue criterion and could be determined either empirically [23, 25, 29], with the help of a constitutive model [18, 30], or using additional microstructural measurements [31, 32]. In all cases, it is necessary to check if the method can be applied, because a main difference of this approach compared to classic fatigue test is that it relates the response over a few initial cycles to the fatigue failure occurring after several days or weeks of testing. It is therefore necessary to check that the constitutive response under fatigue conditions reaches a stationary state within this limited number of cycles [33, 34] before relating the cyclic thermomechanical response under several loading amplitudes to the fatigue lifetime. An even more desirable objective is to predict the full fatigue curve including the fatigue scattering from the heat build-up curve. This has been proven to be possible on several metallic materials [18–20], by relating the dissipative energy to the damage mechanisms throughout a constitutive modeling including a probabilistic failure criterion. This last approach is clearly the most appealing but it faces several difficulties for short fibers reinforced composites. The main difficulty is the extremely numerous dissipation phenomena possibly involved in the material response under fatigue loading, ranging from visco-elasticity to damage and plasticity [35–38]. Despite numerous studies [6, 39, 40], relating the macroscopic dissipation to the basic fatigue mechanisms remains an open issue. A second issue is
that predicting a relevant local dissipation and thus reliable fatigue predictions requires a very accurate evaluation of the hysteretic loop, which remains clearly difficult for these materials [41, 42]. A last point is that a rich modeling approach usually also requires a rich testing campaign, involving a long preliminary study for each compound tested. This could not be integrated easily in a fast testing approach.

This study focuses on a thermostable matrix reinforced with short carbon fibers and aims at three main objectives. The first one is to check if the hypotheses required to apply the approach is valid for these materials, both from a measurement point of view (adiabaticity, spatial distribution of the dissipation sources) and from a criterion point of view (evaluation possible over a limited amount of cycles). The second is to check the accuracy of the technique to predict the mean fatigue curve of these materials. The last is to challenge its capacity to be used as a fast screening tool to discriminate quickly several possible materials, for different grades, suppliers and carbon fiber amount. This study provides two main original aspects compared to the team’s previous studies. The first is the application of the heat build-up approach to these materials that have not been investigated yet. The second is the use of a specific identification of the temperature variation, giving access to both dissipation and thermoelastic couplings, for each pixel of the measured area.

A first section presents the material investigated and the samples’ geometry. In the second section, the experimental protocols used for the fatigue tests, the thermal characterization and the determination of the heat build-up curve are presented. The third section presents the results obtained both for the fatigue and the heat build-up tests. The fourth section provides an analysis of the results obtained and discusses the objectives of the paper, i.e. the consistency of the hypotheses and the capacity of the approach to predict the fatigue properties accurately and to discriminate quickly the fatigue properties of several close materials.

1. Experimental settings

1.1. Materials and samples

Four different materials were tested in this study, with different kinds of polymer matrix and amounts of carbon fibers (referenced as A, B, C, D). All the polymer matrices were thermostable materials sourced from several suppliers and are either PEEK or PAEK matrix reinforced with 30% to 40% (in weight) of short carbon fibers. It is important to underline that all these materials are close and are potential candidates for industrial applications.

Two different geometries were tested in this study. Figure 1 presents the shape and the geometrical parameters and Table 1 provides the parameters for the two different geometries. These samples were milled from a rectangular plate (nominal dimensions 250mm, 100 mm), injection molded through a film gate on the shorter edge. The longitudinal axis of the samples was the main flow direction. Table 2 presents an overview of the materials tested and of the samples considered.

1.2. Fatigue tests

The tests were performed on an INSTRON servo-hydraulic testing machine (capacity of 100kN). The tests were force controlled, the load ratio was set to R=0 and the frequency was 2 Hz. All the tests were performed at room temperature (25°C). A mechanical
Figure 1: Sample geometry. The values of the parameters are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>type 1</th>
<th>type 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_t$</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_u$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_g$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_t$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_u$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Dimensions of the specimens (in mm). The parameters are given in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reference</th>
<th>polymer matrix</th>
<th>carbon fibers (%wt)</th>
<th>geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_1</td>
<td>grade 1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_2</td>
<td>grade 1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>type 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>grade 2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>type 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>grade 3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>type 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>grade 2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>type 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: References of the materials tested.
extensometer gave access to the average nominal strain over a length of 12.5 mm, and
the mean nominal stress was evaluated from the measured force divided by the initial
cross section of the gauge length area. The number of cycles between the initiation and
the final failure was less than 3% of the total lifetime. To simplify the analysis, the
number of cycles to initiation was therefore considered equal to that leading to the final
failure. For each test, an evaluation of the dissipated energy according to the protocol
described in Section 1.4.2 was achieved. For confidentiality issues, all the energetic and
mechanical data were divided by arbitrary values, kept the same for all materials to allow
the comparison.

1.3. Thermal device

The thermal acquisition was performed using a FLIR SC7600-BB infrared cam-
era. The device is equipped with a Stirling-cycle cooled Indium-Antimonide (InSb) Focal
Plane Array (FPA). The FPA is a 512×640 array of detectors digitized on 14 bits and
sensitive in the 1.5-5.1 µm spectral band with a 15 µm pitch (distance between two de-
tectors). The focal length of the optical lens is 50 mm, and its transmissivity is 0.94.
For all the experiments, the integration time was set to 1800 µs. The chosen thermal
acquisition frequency was 30 Hz. In order to improve the thermal resolution (compared
to classic thermal calibration), a compensated pixelwise calibration was used [22]. After
this specific calibration, a NETD (Noise Equivalent Temperature Difference) of 8 mK
was obtained for differential measurements.

1.4. Generation of the heat build-up curves

1.4.1. Heat build-up protocol

The tests were performed on an INSTRON servo-hydraulic testing machine (capacity
of 100kN). All the tests were carried out at room temperature (25°C). Special attention
was paid to the experimental conditions in order to avoid the influence of the environment
on the measurements as much as possible. The sample was painted with high emissivity
paint (measured value of 0.95), surrounded by a black box in order to limit any reflections
and a dark sheet covered the experimental set-up.

The principle of a heat build-up protocol basically consists in submitting a sample
to a succession of cyclic tests of increasing stress amplitude, and to record the evolution
of the temperature for each sequence. The analysis of the dissipated energy from the
thermal resolution requires the heat equation to be resolved, as presented in Section 1.4.2.
This analysis can be based either on a stationary state or on an adiabatic hypothesis
[17, 25, 43]. The choice of the mechanical protocol depends of course on the chosen
analysis.

In this study, the adiabatic analysis was selected, which led to a mechanical protocol
focused on the first loading cycles. Each loading block was composed of two stages. The
first was the loading step: the sample was submitted to 30 cycles according to the stress
amplitude considered in the block. The tests were force controlled, the load ratio was set
to R=0 and the frequency was 2 Hz. During this stage, a thermal acquisition was per-
formed, supplying the thermal images that would be analyzed to follow the temperature
evolution and to determine the dissipated energy. The second stage was a cooling step
lasting between 3 to 15 minutes, in order to let the sample cool down to the ambient
temperature and to have comparable initial conditions from one loading block to another.
This mechanical protocol was repeated for each block, and allowed the dissipated energy to relate to the force amplitude. The last block ran until the failure of the sample.

It is also important to underline that during this study, the thermal fields were measured with the camera either recording the fields observed on the largest surface (called "front face" measurements in the following) or on the side face of the samples (called "side face" measurements in the following).

1.4.2. Thermal measurements and determination of the cyclic dissipated energy

The experimental determination of the dissipated energy fields relies on the solving of the heat equation \[21, 44\]. Under some classic assumptions (including adiabaticity) detailed in former publications \[11, 25, 43, 44\], the heat equation can be written as

\[
\rho c_p \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} = f_r \Delta^* + C_e
\]  

with \( \theta \) the temperature variation, \( f_r \) the mechanical frequency, \( \Delta^* \) the cyclic dissipated energy and \( C_e \) the thermo-elastic coupling contribution, while \( \rho \) and \( c_p \) stand for the specific mass and specific heat, respectively. Under sinusoidal cyclic loading, the solution of this equation writes \[16\]

\[
\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \theta(t) = \frac{f_r}{\rho c_p} \Delta^* t + C_e \sin(2\pi f_r t + \phi)
\]  

This equation is local and the rigorous identification of the cyclic dissipation \( \Delta^* \) and elastic coupling amplitude \( C_e \) is not straightforward because the sample is moving whereas the camera is fixed. It is therefore necessary to compensate the displacement of the sample and to transpose the fields measured into the reference configuration before performing the temporal analysis. To do so, the displacement of a high emissivity marker printed on the sample is analyzed using a home developed software Belenos \[45\]. The thermal fields are then transposed in the reference configuration prior to any other analysis. However, the comparison between the results obtained with or without compensation shows that for the limited strains considered here, the relative error over the thermo-elastic coupling is below 15% and that no difference is observed for the evaluation of the dissipation.

Figure 2 presents the typical evolution of the temperature during one block (circular plain markers), obtained for one pixel of the observed zone. Two main classic phenomena are clearly observed here. The first is a mean rise in temperature, which is related to dissipation. The second is the oscillation related to the thermo-elastic coupling. This signal is used to identify the dissipation and the amplitude of the thermo-elastic coupling, by fitting the unknowns of Equation 2 (i.e. \( \Delta^* \) and \( C_e \)). This identification is illustrated in Figure 2 (continuous line). As highlighted by that figure, the next question is the choice of the temporal window used to perform the identification. In order to make this choice, the respect of two hypotheses has to be considered. The first is that the cyclic dissipation is constant from one cycle to another. Figure 3 illustrates that the mechanical hysteresis is stabilized within 4 cycles. The temporal window should therefore starts ideally after 4 cycles (i.e. 2 seconds). The second hypothesis to be checked is the adiabatic condition, i.e. that the time considered to perform the evaluation is far below the characteristic time allowing for thermal exchanges. In the case considered here, this characteristic time (evaluated from the temperature drop during the cooling steps) was around 300s.
Figure 2: Typical thermal measurements during one block of the heat build-up protocol.

Figure 3: Evolution of the normalized hysteresis during the heat build-up blocks for various normalized stress amplitude.
A temporal window within the 5 first seconds from the start of the experiment seemed reasonable. The identification was therefore performed over a temporal window of 3 seconds after the 4th cycle, as illustrated in Figure 2. One can see that the function identified also fitted the experimental signal very well over the full duration of the loading step, which validates the adiabaticity hypothesis.

This identification was performed for every pixel of the observed zone, leading to the generation of the fields of dissipation and of thermo-elastic coupling, identified over the temporal window. As explained in Section 1.4.1, several loading blocks with increasing force amplitudes were performed. For each loading block, the fields of dissipated energy and thermo-elastic coupling were evaluated. In the following, we will focus only on the dissipation data and the thermo-elastic analysis will be detailed in a forthcoming paper.

The analysis of the dissipated energy field could either lead to a local analysis at the failure location [11] or to a mean evaluation [29]. In this case, we chose a mean evaluation because the field remained very homogeneous during the test and it provides a comparable analysis for the two samples geometry. As illustrated in Figure 4, the mean dissipation was computed over a given area, which led to the generation of the full heat build-up curve.

![Figure 4: Heat build-up curve relating the dissipated energy per cycle (normalized) to the maximum nominal principal strain (normalized). Curve associated to the material A2.](image)

2. Results

2.1. Fatigue tests

2.1.1. Evolution of the mechanical data during the fatigue tests

The evolutions of the cyclic mechanical features were recorded during the fatigue tests. The secant modulus, the hysteretic loop and the residual strain (i.e. the strain at the end of each cycle) were computed from the nominal strain and stress derived from the extensometer and the load cell. Typical curves of these evolutions are presented in Figure 5. These evolutions illustrate that the hysteresis remained stable over the full fatigue test and that the value evaluated at the beginning of the fatigue test was relevant up to the fatigue failure. The evolutions of the secant modulus and of the residual strain were limited for these materials compared to other thermoplastics [3, 29]. The initial evolution seems consistent with the amount of cycles needed to reach a stabilized temperature.
Figure 5: Evolutions of the normalized cyclic mechanical features during the fatigue tests: (a) hysteresis, (b) residual strain and (c) secant modulus.
2.1.2. Fatigue curves

In order to keep a reasonable amount of figures, the fatigue results are plotted in the Figures 9 and 10 comparing the criterion prediction to the experimental data. At this stage, only the experimental data are of interest. First of all, it is important to underline that these curves can obviously not be used for fatigue design as the amount of samples is too limited to afford a statistical approach. The goal of the fatigue test was only to provide a few failure data to check the tendencies predicted by the heat build-up predictions. The curve obtained for the material A with the sample geometry of type 1 nevertheless provides a richer database and it seems that the scattering remained limited. This also seems to be confirmed by the reasonable alignment obtained for the other materials and samples types. As mentioned previously, the dissipated energy (evaluated over the first cycles of the fatigue tests according to the protocol described in Section 1) was measured. This allows both the classic S-N curves and a fatigue curve based on dissipated energy to be plotted.

2.1.3. Heat build-up curves

The repeatability of the tests was systematically checked. Figure 6a illustrates the very good match between two different tests. It is also important to underline that these two heat build-up curves were generated throughout two different sequences of loading (different amount and amplitudes of loading blocks). This shows that there was no dependency of the heat build-up curve on the loading history. This is furthermore confirmed by the observation that the dissipated energy measured at the beginning of the fatigue tests (i.e. for one single block), was consistent with the heat build-up curve. This is also illustrated in Figure 6a.

The evaluation of volumic dissipation sources from surface measurements is always a difficult point. As evoked previously, the thermal fields were measured with the camera recording the fields observed on the largest surface (called "main face" measurements in the following) and for samples with grips turned of 90°. In the latter case, the temperature fields were therefore measured on the side face of the samples (called "side face" measurements in the following). For material A2, Figure 6b illustrates that the mean evaluations of the dissipated energy obtained by these two measurements were indeed very close for the full heat build-up curve. It therefore seems that the evaluation of the dissipated energy could be considered representative of the full volume of the sample (in the gauge length area).

The heat build-up curves obtained for the 4 materials studied are presented in Figure 7. One can observe that the dissipation responses can be markedly different, depending on the grade and the amount of fibers. One can also notice that, the heat build-up curves obtained for the same materials but using two different geometries (references A1 and A2) were different. This was due to their different thicknesses, leading to a different microstructure.

3. Analysis and discussion

3.1. Validation of the hypothesis for the heat build-up curves

The objective of this paragraph is to sum-up and check the hypotheses required to deduce the fatigue properties from the heat build-up curve. A first set of hypotheses is
Figure 6: Effect of the experimental scattering (a) and measurement area – front or side – (b) on the heat build-up curves. These data are obtained for the material A₂.

Figure 7: Heat build-up curves for the studied materials.
related to the evaluation of the dissipated energy. In this case, a protocol valid for adiabatic conditions has been applied, on the additional assumption of constant dissipation per cycle. Both conditions were validated in Section 1.4.2. Moreover, the energy evaluated seems representative of the whole section of the sample. Finally, the heat build-up test should be repeatable, which was checked for all the materials tested. A second set of hypotheses is related to the connection to the fatigue tests and the tentative prediction of the fatigue lifetime. First of all, the energy should be evaluated over the failure location, which is consistent here with the failure occurring in the gauge volume. Then, the dissipation evaluated for a fatigue test should be consistent with that obtained for the heat build-up test. That was checked in section 1.4.2. Finally, the energy evaluated over a limited amount of cycles should be consistent with that dissipated during the fatigue test, which was checked in Section 1.4.2.

3.2. Identification of the dissipated energy criterion parameters

The heat build-up curve provides a relation between the stress amplitude and the dissipated energy. The next step is to use an energy based criterion to relate the dissipated energy to the fatigue lifetime. In former studies [23, 25, 28, 29], protocols using thermal measurements to predict the fatigue life throughout an energy based criterion proved to afford a very efficient prediction of the deterministic Wöhler curve (one sample, within less than one day) and for various materials. This very efficient approach is based on thermal data only and uses a fatigue criterion relating the number of cycles $N$ to initiation to the cyclic dissipated energy $\Delta^*$ with two parameters $b$ and $C$ that need to be identified

$$\Delta^* N^b = C$$

(3)

The approach considered in [11, 25] consists in identifying regimes on the heat build-up curves based on a graphical analysis of the curve. This approach is possible only with a large amount of experimental data and thus a high number of blocks. This is possible here, thanks to the evaluation on a few cycles, avoiding any damage accumulation during the heat build-up test. To highlight these regimes (see Figure 8a), we propose here a new approach based on the cyclic dissipation rate computed using finite difference according to

$$\dot{\Delta}^* \left( \sigma_n + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n) \right) = \frac{\Delta^*_{n+1} - \Delta^*_n}{\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n}$$

(4)

These variations are computed using two following points and are associated to the mean stress values related to these points. Figure 8a shows the evolution of the cyclic dissipation rate for the experiments presented in Figure 6. The regimes can then be identified easily.

Two sets ($\Delta^*, N$) are needed to identify the parameters analytically. The first set ($\Delta^*_R, N_R$) was obtained from the last block that ran until the sample failure. The second set ($\Delta^*_{10^6}, N_{10^6}$) was obtained from the heat build-up curve at the transition between regimes I and II, as illustrated in Figure 8b. Here, the hypothesis is that the energy evaluated for this transition leads to a lifetime of $10^6$ cycles. This hypothesis is highly disputable as these materials do not show any clear fatigue limit. This point, initiated in other references [5, 44], deserves further discussion. In particular, the physical nature of the regimes identified is to be clarified as a clear split between the nonlinear effects of viscoelasticity, visco-plasticity and damage remains difficult for these materials [9, 38, 42].
Modeling, of course, is fundamental in clarifying this point. A very recent paper [46] presents a comparison of the experimental curves to numerical simulations for one of the material investigated here. The model includes elasticity, plasticity and non-linear viscoelasticity coupled to plasticity and strain rate. The identification is achieved on an extensive database and validated throughout the heat build-up curve prediction. The agreement is good and it appears that the first regime can be related to pure visco-elastic effects, that the second one is correlated to the onset of plasticity even if the contribution remains limited to the overall dissipation and that the third regime is induced both by plastic effects and by the coupling of plasticity to non-linear visco-elasticity. In this study, the focus is on the application of the existing protocol and checking its relevancy for the materials tested. The identification procedure was applied to the 4 materials studied and the two samples geometry (material A).

3.3. Comparison between fatigue curves and energetic predictions.

Figures 9 and 10 present the comparison between the experimental data obtained from the fatigue tests and the prediction of the dissipated energy criterion. Above, the fatigue curves are plotted using the dissipated energy as the fatigue indicator. Below, the classic S-N curve is plotted.

In both cases, the correlation obtained is fairly good for all the materials and samples considered. It is important to underline that different heat build-up curves could nevertheless lead to similar S-N curves. A very interesting result is that, for the same material, different fatigue properties can be found due to different microstructures induced by the
Figure 9: Comparison between the fatigue data (empty markers) and the energetic criterion (line and filled markers) for the material A1 in terms of dissipation versus number of cycles (a) and nominal stress versus number of cycles (b).
Figure 10: Comparison between the fatigue data (empty markers) and the energetic criterion (line and filled markers) for the type 2 specimen in terms of dissipation versus number of cycles (a) and nominal stress versus number of cycles (b).
injection. In any case, the technique manages to describe well the fatigue resistance of the materials investigated. It therefore appears to be a relevant tool to evaluate the best candidates for fatigue applications, prior to generating full fatigue curves using classic fatigue campaigns.

Conclusions

This paper focused on thermostable matrices, reinforced with short carbon fibers and aimed at three main objectives. The first one was to highlight and investigate if a heat build-up approach can be applied to these materials. This was checked both from a measurement point of view (adiabaticity, spatial distribution of the dissipation sources) and from a criterion point of view (evaluation possible over a limited amount of cycles). Compared to previous papers on other materials published by the authors, the adiabatic analysis was here performed over each pixel, throughout the analysis of the temporal variation. A second objective was to check the ability of the technique to predict the mean fatigue curve of these materials. This was assessed on a wide database for one material and one sample geometry. The last objective was to challenge the capacity of the heat build-up technique to be used as a fast screening tool, i.e. to discriminate several possible materials quickly, for different grades, suppliers and carbon fiber amounts. The results obtained on 4 materials illustrated that the heat build-up protocol, defined and applied in this study, managed to predict the fatigue resistance of the materials investigated well, with one sample and within one day per material tested. It therefore appears to be a relevant tool to select the best candidates for fatigue applications from a given panel, prior to generating full fatigue curves using classic fatigue campaigns.
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